REGULAR MEETING AGENDA
OF THE
ARVIN CITY COUNCIL / SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE
ARVIN COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY / ARVIN HOUSING
AUTHORITY / ARVIN PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY

TUESDAY SEPTEMBER 24, 2019  5:30p.m.
CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
200 CAMPUS DRIVE, ARVIN

CALL TO ORDER
Mayor Jose Gurrola

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

INVOCATION

*****************************************************************************
ROLL CALL
Jose Gurrola  Mayor
Jazmin Robles  Mayor Pro Tem
Gabriela Martinez  Councilmember
Olivia Trujillo  Councilmember
Mark S. Franetovich  Councilmember

*****************************************************************************

STAFF
Richard Breckinridge  City Manager
Shannon Chaffin  City Attorney – Aleshire & Wynder
Jeff Jones  Finance Director
Scot Kimble  Chief of Police
Pawan Gill  Director of Administrative Services
Mitzy Cuxum  Senior Planner
Adam Ojeda  City Engineer
Cecilia Vela  City Clerk
PUBLIC COMMENTS:
The meetings of the City Council and all municipal entities, commissions, and boards (“the City”) are open to the public. At regularly scheduled meetings, members of the public may address the City on any item listed on the agenda, or on any non-listed matter over which the City has jurisdiction. At special or emergency meetings, members of the public may only address the City on items listed on the agenda. The City may request speakers to designate a spokesperson to provide public input on behalf of a group, based on the number of people requesting to speak and the business of the City.

In accordance with the Brown Act, all matters to be acted on by the City must be posted at least 72 hours prior to the City meeting. In cases of an emergency, or when a subject matter needs immediate action or comes to the attention of the City subsequent to the agenda being posted, upon making certain findings, the City may act on an item that was not on the posted agenda.

AGENDA STAFF REPORTS AND HANDOUTS:
Staff reports and other disclosable public records related to open session agenda items are available at City Hall, 200 Campus Drive, Arvin, CA 93203 during regular business hours.

CONDUCT IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS:
Rules of Decorum for the Public
Members of the audience shall not engage in disorderly or boisterous conduct, including the utterance of loud, threatening or abusive language, clapping, whistling, stamping of feet or other acts which disturb, disrupt, impede or otherwise render the orderly conduct of the City meeting infeasible. A member of the audience engaging in any such conduct shall, at the discretion of the presiding officer or a majority of the City, be subject to ejection from the meeting per Gov. Code Sect. 54954.3(c).

Removal from the Council Chambers
Any person who commits the following acts in respect to a meeting of the City shall be removed from the Council Chambers per Gov. Code Sect. 54954.3(c).

(a) Disorderly, contemptuous or insolent behavior toward the City or any member thereof, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting;

(b) A breach of the peace, boisterous conduct or violent disturbance, tending to interrupt the due and orderly course of said meeting;

(c) Disobedience of any lawful order of the Mayor, which shall include an order to be seated or to refrain from addressing the City; and

(d) Any other unlawful interference with the due and orderly course of said meeting.

AMERICANS with DISABILITIES ACT:
In compliance with the ADA, if you need special assistance to participate in a City meeting or other services offered by the City, please contact the City Clerk’s office, (661) 854-3134. Notification of at least 48 hours prior to the meeting or time when services are needed will assist the City staff in assuring that reasonable arrangements can be made to provide accessibility to the meeting or service.
1. Approval of Agenda as To Form.

Motion _________ Second _____________ Vote _________

Roll Call: CM Martinez ___ CM Trujillo ___ CM Franetovich ___ MPT Robles ___ Mayor Gurrola ___

2. CLOSED SESSION ITEM(S)
   A. PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (Pursuant to Government Code § 54957(b)(1)
      Position: City Manager
   
   B. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS (Pursuant to Government Code, § 54957.6):
      City Negotiator: Jerry Breckinridge, City Manager and Pawan Gill, Director of Administrative Services
      Employee Organizations: Arvin Police Officers Association (APOA) and Central California Association of Public Employees SEIU Local 521.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS
   (This is the opportunity for the public to address the City Council on any matter on the agenda or any item of interest to the public that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the City Council.)

4. PRESENTATION(S)
   A. Swearing In of New Police Officer, Alberto Montejo
      Cecilia Vela, City Clerk

5. CONSENT AGENDA ITEM(S)
   A. Approval of Demand Register(s) of September 07, 2019 – September 20, 2019.
   B. Approval of Payroll Register(s) of September 20, 2019.
   C. Approval of the Minutes of the Regular Meeting(s) of September 10, 2019.
   D. Approval of A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Arvin to Approve the Arvin Chamber of Commerce Special Event Permit Application for the Annual Christmas Parade 2019 and Waive City Costs Associated with this Event.
   E. Approval of A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Arvin to Approve the Saint Thomas Church Special Event Permit Application for Their Annual Our Lady of Guadalupe Procession 2019 and Waive City Costs Associated with this Event.
   F. Approval of Appointment of Sergio Hernandez as Alternate Board Member to the Arvin Planning Commission.
Staff recommends approval of the Consent Agenda.

Motion ________ Second _____________ Vote ________
Roll Call: CM Martinez ___ CM Trujillo ___ CM Franetovich ___ MPT Robles ___ Mayor Gurrola ___

6. PUBLIC HEARING ITEM(S)
   A. A Public Hearing to Consider and Approve A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Arvin Approving General Plan Amendment 2013-01-Ariston Project by Approving the Change of Land Use Designation on 62+/- Acres from Light Industrial and Heavy Industrial to 3.289 Acres to Light Industrial, 8.01 Acres to General Commercial, 13.46 Acres to Medium-Density Residential, and 7.01 Acres to High Density Residential; and

An Ordinance Of The City Of Arvin, California, Amending The Official Zoning Map, Heretofore Adopted By Section 17.06.020 of the Arvin Municipal Code, Being The Zoning Ordinance of the City Of Arvin, for Zone Change 2013-01 (Ariston). The Ordinance proposes a Zone Change from A-1, Light Agricultural and A-2 General Agricultural to M-2 Light Manufacturing, C-2 General Commercial, R-2 Two Family, and R-3 Limited Multiple Family for the Project, Uncodified Ordinance; and

Adopt the Associated Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring Reporting and Applicable Program for General Plan Amendment 2013-01 and Zone Change 2013-01 for the Ariston Project. (This item was continued from the Council meeting of June 25, 2019. Staff is requesting to continue this hearing to allow time for the Arvin Planning Commission to obtain a quorum to hold a meeting.) (Senior Planner)

Staff recommends that the City Council continue the public hearing to the Regular City Council Meeting of October 22, 2019.

Motion ________ Second _____________ Vote ________
Roll Call: CM Martinez ___ CM Trujillo ___ CM Franetovich ___ MPT Robles ___ Mayor Gurrola ___

7. ACTION ITEM(S)
   A. Consideration and Direction Regarding Resolutions to be Considered at the League of California Cities Annual Conference Business Meeting on October 18, 2019. (City Clerk)


   2. A Resolution of the League of California Cities Calling Upon the Federal and State Governments to Address the Devasting Impacts of International
Transboundary Pollution Flows Into the Southernmost Regions of California and the Pacific Ocean.

Staff recommends that the Council consider the two Resolutions and determine the City’s position so that the Voting Delegate can represent the City’s position for these Resolution at the Business Meeting.

Motion __________ Second ____________ Vote __________
Roll Call: CM Martinez ____ CM Trujillo ____ CM Franetovich ____ MPT Robles ____ Mayor Gurrola ____

8. STAFF REPORTS
   A. Annual Report (Director of Administrative Services)

9. COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS

10. ADJOURNMENT

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing agenda was posted on the Arvin City Council Chambers Bulletin Board not less than 72 hours prior to the meeting. Dated September 20, 2019.

Cecilia Vela, City Clerk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. No.</th>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>Invoice No.</th>
<th>Posting Date</th>
<th>PO Number</th>
<th>Invoice Date</th>
<th>Invoice Description</th>
<th>Invoice Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49880</td>
<td>ACC BUSINESS</td>
<td>192233451</td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td>INTERNET ACCESS-7.11.19-8.10.19</td>
<td>958.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49878</td>
<td>AIRCO BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>QB1352</td>
<td>08/22/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/22/2019</td>
<td>AC REPAIR -4 UNITS</td>
<td>990.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49881</td>
<td>ALLSTATE</td>
<td>91936 8.28.19</td>
<td>08/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/28/2019</td>
<td>POST TAX 8.28.19</td>
<td>217.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49883</td>
<td>ALTA PLANNING AND DESIGN</td>
<td>6785</td>
<td>08/30/2019</td>
<td>00-2018-272-9</td>
<td>08/30/2019</td>
<td>CALTRANS-SRTS PLAN</td>
<td>10,241.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49877</td>
<td>AMERICAN BUSINESS MACHINES</td>
<td>466785</td>
<td>07/29/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>07/29/2019</td>
<td>BUSINESS CARDS FOR SR. PLAN</td>
<td>53.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49882</td>
<td>AT&amp;T MOBILITY</td>
<td>287251442687X08282019</td>
<td>08/22/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/22/2019</td>
<td>M&amp;I CELL PHONES-7.23.19-8.22.19</td>
<td>187.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49879</td>
<td>ATLAS BUSINESS SOLUTIONS, INC.</td>
<td>INV296880</td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td>SCHEDULING SFTWRE LINCENSE</td>
<td>540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49886</td>
<td>BAKERSFIELD CALIFORNIAN</td>
<td>2720298</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>LEGAL NOTICES AUG 2019</td>
<td>777.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49884</td>
<td>BRIAN HANEY</td>
<td>INV CONSULTING-JUNE 2019</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>INVESTIGATIVE CONSULTING</td>
<td>1,143.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49885</td>
<td>BRIAN HANEY</td>
<td>INV CONSULTING-AUG 2019</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>INVESTIGATIVE CONSULTING</td>
<td>315.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49934</td>
<td>CENTRAL CALIF. ASSOC. PUBLIC</td>
<td>2720298</td>
<td>09/06/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/06/2019</td>
<td>UNION DUES- 9.6.19 PAYROLL</td>
<td>674.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49890</td>
<td>CINTAS</td>
<td>4028428770</td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td>UNIFORM SVC 8.21.19</td>
<td>58.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49891</td>
<td>CINTAS</td>
<td>4029356807</td>
<td>09/04/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/04/2019</td>
<td>UNIFORM SVC 9.4.19</td>
<td>58.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49889</td>
<td>CLARK PEST CONTROL</td>
<td>A#01063519 9.3.19</td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td>8.15.19 SVC-414 4TH ST-VET'S</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49887</td>
<td>COLONIAL LIFE</td>
<td>5193602-0809986</td>
<td>08/25/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/25/2019</td>
<td>SUPPLEMENTAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>994.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49888</td>
<td>COMPLETE HARDWARE STORE &amp; MORE</td>
<td>270302 8.7.19</td>
<td>08/07/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/07/2019</td>
<td>CHALKS - STRIPPING CROSS WA</td>
<td>11.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. No.</td>
<td>Vendor Name</td>
<td>Invoice No.</td>
<td>Posting Date</td>
<td>PO Number</td>
<td>Invoice Date</td>
<td>Invoice Description</td>
<td>Invoice Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49892</td>
<td>MITZY CUXUM</td>
<td>APA CAL- PER DIEM 9.11.19</td>
<td>09/11/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/11/2019</td>
<td>PER DIEM-APA CAL CONF</td>
<td>215.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>215.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49894</td>
<td>FORENSIC NURSE SPECIALISTS INC</td>
<td>3587</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>8.25.19 SERVICES - PD</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49893</td>
<td>FRESNO POLICE DEPARTMENT</td>
<td>POST - GONZALEZ, R 9.17-19</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>POST TRAINING-GONZALEZ, R</td>
<td>406.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>406.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49895</td>
<td>GOLDEN EMPIRE TOWING INC</td>
<td>443805</td>
<td>08/23/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/23/2019</td>
<td>8.23.19 TOWING SERVICE</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49896</td>
<td>RUBEN GONZALEZ</td>
<td>PER DIEM-POST CRSE 9.17-19</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>POST PERISHBLE SKILLS-PER DI</td>
<td>260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49897</td>
<td>RUBEN GONZALEZ</td>
<td>POST FTO TRAIN-9.24-26</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>POST FTO UPDATE TRAIN-PER DI</td>
<td>260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>520.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49904</td>
<td>INTEGRATED HOME SOLUTIONS</td>
<td>REFUND-PW19-022 UNUSED DEP</td>
<td>08/26/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/26/2019</td>
<td>REFUND-UNUSED TRUST DEP</td>
<td>287.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>287.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49898</td>
<td>JTS TRUCKING REPAIR</td>
<td>241566</td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE FLEE# 209</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49899</td>
<td>JTS TRUCKING REPAIR</td>
<td>241562</td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE FLEE# 110</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49900</td>
<td>JTS TRUCKING REPAIR</td>
<td>241565</td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE FLEE# 209</td>
<td>607.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>607.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49901</td>
<td>JTS TRUCKING REPAIR</td>
<td>241564</td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE FLEE# 211</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49902</td>
<td>JTS TRUCKING REPAIR</td>
<td>241563</td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/21/2019</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE FLEE# 207</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49903</td>
<td>JTS TRUCKING REPAIR</td>
<td>241868</td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE FLEE# 211</td>
<td>180.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>1,327.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49905</td>
<td>K. C. SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOL</td>
<td>000425</td>
<td>08/22/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/22/2019</td>
<td>PARTS-BUS REPAIRS</td>
<td>20.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>20.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49906</td>
<td>KERN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS</td>
<td>KCAC DINNER 8.26.19</td>
<td>08/26/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/26/2019</td>
<td>8/26/19 KCAD DINNER-2 COUNCIL</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49907</td>
<td>KRAZAN &amp; ASSOCIATES, INC</td>
<td>INV 0128936-1483</td>
<td>07/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>05/23/2019</td>
<td>GEOTECH ENGIN INVESTIGATION</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49908</td>
<td>LAW &amp; ASSOCIATES INVESTIGATION</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>09/04/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/04/2019</td>
<td>LAW ENF BACKGROUND-MONTE.</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49909</td>
<td>LAW &amp; ASSOCIATES INVESTIGATION</td>
<td>1777</td>
<td>08/07/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/07/2019</td>
<td>LAW ENF BACKGROUND-REYES</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>1,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49913</td>
<td>FERNANDO LOPEZ</td>
<td>INTERPR SVC- 9.24-19-11.12.19</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>INTERPRETING SERVICES-MTNG</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. No.</td>
<td>Vendor Name</td>
<td>Invoice No.</td>
<td>Posting Date</td>
<td>PO Number</td>
<td>Invoice Date</td>
<td>Invoice Description</td>
<td>Invoice Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49910</td>
<td>MOUNTAINSIDE DISPOSAL</td>
<td>551529</td>
<td>08/30/2019</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>08/30/2019</td>
<td>LAND FILL FEE 9.1.19</td>
<td>162.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49914</td>
<td>OFFICE DEPOT, INC</td>
<td>3871879621001</td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES-POLICE</td>
<td>683.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49911</td>
<td>O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE, INC</td>
<td>4451-433522</td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td>HITCH (2) - HAULING</td>
<td>266.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49912</td>
<td>O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE, INC</td>
<td>4451-433837</td>
<td>08/29/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/29/2019</td>
<td>WASHER NOZZLE-BUSES</td>
<td>20.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49915</td>
<td>PG &amp; E</td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td>A#8440977428-2 9.3.19</td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td>SVC 8.02.19-9.03.19</td>
<td>322.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>A#9307046200-2 8.27.19</td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td>SVC 7.25.19-8.25.19</td>
<td>3,583.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,905.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49919</td>
<td>SPECTRUM BUSINESS</td>
<td>064070101090219</td>
<td>09/02/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/02/2019</td>
<td>SVC 9.1.19-9.30.19 200 CAMPUS</td>
<td>275.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49920</td>
<td>STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE</td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td>08/13/2019</td>
<td>O#7300230802-0-1</td>
<td>08/13/2019</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES-POLICE</td>
<td>196.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49921</td>
<td>STAPLES BUSINESS ADVANTAGE</td>
<td>09/03/2019</td>
<td>08/13/2019</td>
<td>O#7300243172-0-1</td>
<td>08/13/2019</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES-COMM DEVEL</td>
<td>68.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49924</td>
<td>TEL-TEC SECURITY SYSTEMS</td>
<td>674027</td>
<td>09/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/01/2019</td>
<td>SVC 9.1.19-9.30.19 ADOBE</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49923</td>
<td>THE NATELSON DAVE GROUP, INC.</td>
<td>03475</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>TAX SPLIT ANALYSIS-AUG 2019</td>
<td>5,975.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49928</td>
<td>VANTAGE POINT TRANSFER AGENTS</td>
<td>09/06/2019</td>
<td>09/06/2019</td>
<td>457 K CONTRIBUTIONS 9.6.19</td>
<td>09/06/2019</td>
<td>457 K CONTRIBUTIONS 9.6.19</td>
<td>1,406.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. No.</td>
<td>Vendor Name</td>
<td>Invoice No.</td>
<td>Posting Date</td>
<td>Invoice No.</td>
<td>Invoice Date</td>
<td>Invoice Description</td>
<td>Invoice Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49925</td>
<td>VERIZON WIRELESS A#642023305-1</td>
<td>9837023023</td>
<td>08/28/2019</td>
<td>08/28/2019</td>
<td>SVC 7.29.19-8.28.19 TRANSIT</td>
<td>120.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>120.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49929</td>
<td>WELLS FARGO LEASE PMTS</td>
<td>5006973737</td>
<td>08/22/2019</td>
<td>08/22/2019</td>
<td>SVC 8.18.19-9.17.19- COPIER PD</td>
<td>183.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>305.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49931</td>
<td>ZEE MEDICAL SERVICE</td>
<td>34-216659</td>
<td>07/01/2019</td>
<td>05/07/2019</td>
<td>MEDICAL SUPPLIES- TRANSIT</td>
<td>57.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49932</td>
<td>ZEE MEDICAL SERVICE</td>
<td>34-216982</td>
<td>07/17/2019</td>
<td>07/17/2019</td>
<td>MEDICAL SUPPLIES- TRANSIT</td>
<td>95.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49933</td>
<td>ZEE MEDICAL SERVICE</td>
<td>34-217145</td>
<td>08/26/2019</td>
<td>08/26/2019</td>
<td>MEDICAL SUPPLIES- TRANSIT</td>
<td>30.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td>182.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total: 43,862.75
Less Credit Memos: 0.00
Net Total: 43,862.75
Less Hand Check Total: 0.00
Outstanding Invoice Total: 43,862.75

Total Invoices: 58
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>Post Date</th>
<th>Bank</th>
<th>Invoice Description Line 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLACKBURN OIL COMPANY LLC</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>FUEL USAGE REPORT-AUG 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO BOX 177</td>
<td>09/16/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>9,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARVIN</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Emailing Stub Disabled&gt;</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>A#2129 STATEMENT 8.31.1</td>
<td>9,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRECKINRIDGE, RICHARD &quot;JERRY&quot;</td>
<td>09/11/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>MLGE REIMB-LEAGUE OF CA MT¥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6.13.19&amp;8.19</td>
<td>218.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/11/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/11/2019</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>AUGUST FINGERPRINT APPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. BOX 944255</td>
<td>09/16/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>BCKGRND-ACCNTANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACRAMENTO</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-258</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49935</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>402831</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEWALT CORPORATION</td>
<td>07/01/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>URBAN GREENING PATH-MARCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930 22ND STREET</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>PROJECT#18-304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>06/21/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-835</td>
<td>07/20/2019</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>1087166</td>
<td>12,336.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARVIN</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>ARVIN PARK&amp;RIDE - AUG-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930 22ND STREET</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>PROJECT#18-027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-835</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>1087428</td>
<td>315.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIAMOND TECHNOLOGIES, INC</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>CARTRIDGE, PVC CARD TRANSIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O BOX 9007</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-397</td>
<td>09/25/2019</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49938</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>24862</td>
<td>300.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIAMOND TECHNOLOGIES, INC</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>OFFICE 365- AUGUST-19 BILLING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O BOX 9007</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-397</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49939</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>24775</td>
<td>365.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIAMOND TECHNOLOGIES, INC</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>SECURECENTRIC- SEPT-19 BILLING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O BOX 9007</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-397</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49940</td>
<td>08/31/2019</td>
<td>24779</td>
<td>2,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,652.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vendor Total: 12,652.04
## Edit List of Invoices - Detail

### Demand Register 09.16.19

**Date:** 09/16/2019  
**Time:** 5:20 pm  
**Page:** 2

### City of Arvin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. No.</th>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>City of Arvin</th>
<th>Vendor Address</th>
<th>Post Date</th>
<th>Pay. Date</th>
<th>PONumber</th>
<th>Hold?</th>
<th>Invoice Description Line 1</th>
<th>Invoice Description Line 2</th>
<th>Gross Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49941</td>
<td>GENERAL OFFICE</td>
<td>09/04/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>METER 7.31.19 &amp; 9.2.19</td>
<td>371.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49942</td>
<td>BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>09/04/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>PD &amp; COMM DEVEL</td>
<td>49942</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-250</td>
<td>CA 93303</td>
<td>09/24/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49942</td>
<td>GRANICUS</td>
<td>08/28/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>IQM2-AGENDA &amp; MINUTES 8.28.19</td>
<td>561.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49943</td>
<td>DEPT CH-BOX 19634</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>RAPER</td>
<td>49943</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-703</td>
<td>IL 60055-9634</td>
<td>09/27/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-950</td>
<td>CA 91786</td>
<td>09/04/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49944</td>
<td>JAS PACIFIC</td>
<td>08/05/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>PLANNER SVCS- 7.1.19 - 7.31.19</td>
<td>855.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49943</td>
<td>P.O BOX 2002</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>RAPER</td>
<td>49943</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49945</td>
<td>UPLAND</td>
<td>08/05/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-950</td>
<td>CA 91786</td>
<td>09/04/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-320</td>
<td>CA 93308</td>
<td>09/26/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49944</td>
<td>KERN RIVER POWER EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>BLADES-RIDING LWNMOWR 2.4,1</td>
<td>996.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49943</td>
<td>BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-250</td>
<td>&lt;Emailing Stub Disabled&gt;</td>
<td>09/24/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-320</td>
<td>CA 93308</td>
<td>09/26/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49944</td>
<td>KERN RIVER POWER EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>08/27/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>REPAIRS-MOWER#2</td>
<td>1,124.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49945</td>
<td>108 N. CHESTER AVE.</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-320</td>
<td>&lt;Emailing Stub Disabled&gt;</td>
<td>09/26/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49946</td>
<td>LOWE'S</td>
<td>08/02/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>COOLER-ANIMAL CONTROL</td>
<td>45.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49943</td>
<td>P.O. BOX 530954</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>TOOL-HOT BOX REPAIR</td>
<td>49946</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-130</td>
<td>ATLANTA</td>
<td>08/02/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-130</td>
<td>GA 30353-0954</td>
<td>08/20/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49947</td>
<td>OPEN &amp; SHUT ENTERPRISES</td>
<td>08/28/2019</td>
<td>BOFA</td>
<td>REPAIR- GATE#2</td>
<td>561.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-600</td>
<td>6612 DOWNING AVE.</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49947</td>
<td>BAKERSFIELD</td>
<td>08/28/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-250</td>
<td>CA 93308</td>
<td>09/27/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vendor Total:** 371.61

**Vendor Total:** 561.00

**Vendor Total:** 855.00

**Vendor Total:** 996.54

**Vendor Total:** 1,124.43

**Vendor Total:** 1,124.43

**Vendor Total:** 2,120.97

**Vendor Total:** 45.01

**Vendor Total:** 561.75

**Vendor Total:** 561.75
## Edit List of Invoices - Detail

**Demand Register 09.16.19**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>Post Date</th>
<th>Bank</th>
<th>Invoice Description Line 1</th>
<th>Gross Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200 CAMPUS DR</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARVIN</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA 93203</td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>EmailingStub Disabled</em></td>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>CASH REPLNSHMT 9.</td>
<td>109.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vendor Total:** 109.40

Grand Total: 29,692.12

Less Credit Memos: 0.00

Net Total: 29,692.12

Less Hand Check Total: 0.00

Outstanding Invoice Total: 29,692.12

Total Invoices: 16
### EARNINGS REPORT
**PAYROLL 9-20-19**

**Emp. Code Desc.: CITY OF ARVIN**
**From 09/20/2019 to 09/20/20**
**City of Arvin**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Name</th>
<th>Employee ID</th>
<th>15X</th>
<th>1X</th>
<th>1XFTO</th>
<th>25X</th>
<th>2X</th>
<th>3X</th>
<th>ADJ</th>
<th>ADLCO</th>
<th>CTO</th>
<th>CTYWK</th>
<th>Oth</th>
<th>Tot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADMLV</td>
<td>ADPAY</td>
<td>ALLOW</td>
<td>BERV</td>
<td>BNFT1</td>
<td>COMP</td>
<td>HOL</td>
<td>HLPF</td>
<td>INSUR</td>
<td>PDADV</td>
<td>PRD</td>
<td>SSWEP</td>
<td>STLMT</td>
<td>VAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JURY</td>
<td>LONG</td>
<td>MILIT</td>
<td>MISC</td>
<td>PBD</td>
<td>PBD3</td>
<td>PBD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>STDBY</td>
<td>STMT</td>
<td>VAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERE</td>
<td>PERS</td>
<td>PHALW</td>
<td>POST</td>
<td>RETOT</td>
<td>RETO</td>
<td>REG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCKCO</td>
<td>SEVR</td>
<td>SHOEA</td>
<td>SICK</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAIN</td>
<td>TUPGR</td>
<td>UACL</td>
<td>UAEP</td>
<td>UNADV</td>
<td>URCL</td>
<td>URPEP</td>
<td>VAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VACCO</td>
<td>VACTO</td>
<td>WRKCO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total:** Employee Count: 52

| 0.00 | 11,070.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 103.37 | 0.00 | (180.56) | 4,915.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 200.00 | 0.00 | 807.73 | 0.00 | 434.62 | 928.00 | 132,311.1 |
| 323.09 | 176.40 | 0.00 | 88.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,619.16 |
| 0.00 | 2,307.74 | 0.00 | 1,186.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 300.00 | 710.89 | 98,964.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,268.02 | 0.00 | 90.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,105.40 |
| 2,378.40 | 0.00 | 2,390.42 |       |       |       |       |       |     |

### COST REPORT
**PAYROLL 9-20-19**

**Emp. Code Desc.: CITY OF ARVIN**
**From 09/20/2019 to 09/20/20**
**City of Arvin**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Name</th>
<th>Employee ID</th>
<th>FUTA</th>
<th>MC</th>
<th>MC1</th>
<th>MC2</th>
<th>PER1E</th>
<th>PER2D</th>
<th>PER2E</th>
<th>PER2M</th>
<th>Oth</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>SS1</th>
<th>Tot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PER3E</td>
<td>PERS4</td>
<td>PERS5</td>
<td>PERS6</td>
<td>PERS9</td>
<td>PERS8</td>
<td>PERS7</td>
<td>PERS6</td>
<td>PERS1</td>
<td>PERS2</td>
<td>SUTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total:** Employee Count: 52

| 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,662.66 | 338.65 | 0.00 | 1,357.10 | 0.00 | 968.45 | 0.00 |
| 1,178.59 | 119.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,514.90 | 23,445.5 |
| 2,338.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,063.24 | 2,227.89 | 0.00 | 6,992.64 | 1,683.76 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |     |
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
ARVIN CITY COUNCIL / SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE
ARVIN COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY / ARVIN HOUSING
AUTHORITY / ARVIN PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY

SEPTEMBER 10, 2019

CALL TO ORDER @ 6:00PM

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

INVOCATION

ROLL CALL: All present; CM Franetovich arrived late during Presentation Item #3A.

1. Approval of Agenda as To Form.

Motion to approve the Agenda.

Motion Mayor Gurrola  Second CM Trujillo  Vote 4-0

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS
(This is the opportunity for the public to address the City Council on any matter on the agenda or any item of interest to the public that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the City Council.)

3. PRESENTATION(S)

A. Swearing In of New Police Officer, Delia Serrano Reyes
   Cecilia Vela, City Clerk

4. CONSENT AGENDA ITEM(S)

A. Approval of Demand Register(s) of August 24, 2019 – September 06, 2019.

B. Approval of Payroll Register(s) of September 06, 2019.

C. Approval of the Minutes of the Regular Meeting(s) of August 27, 2019.


Resolution No. 2019-75


Resolution No. 2019-76
F. Approval of Job Description Update for Reserve Police Officer.

Staff recommends approval of the Consent Agenda.

Motion to approve Consent Agenda Items 4A – 4F.
Motion Mayor Gurrola Second MPT Robles Vote 5-0

5. STAFF REPORTS

6. COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS

7. ADJOURNED @ 6:14PM

Respectfully submitted,

Cecilia Vela, City Clerk
TO: City Council

FROM: Jerry Breckinridge, City Manager
Jerry Breckinridge, City Manager

SUBJECT: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARVIN TO APPROVE THE ARVIN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT APPLICATION FOR THE ANNUAL CHRISTMAS PARADE 2019 AND WAIVE CITY COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS EVENT.

RECOMMENDATION:
Staff recommends approval of the Arvin Chamber of Commerce’s Special Event Permit Application due to Caltrans’ requirement for authorization from the City to approve an encroachment permit, which is necessary for the parade route on State Highway 223 (Bear Mountain Blvd). Staff also recommends the City Council consider waiving costs associated with this event.

BACKGROUND:
The Arvin Chamber of Commerce has submitted a Special Event Permit Application for their annual Christmas parade. The parade is scheduled for December 2, 2019 and will begin at 7:00 p.m. Setup for this event will begin at 5:30pm and the event is expected to conclude by 8:30 p.m. The parade route is Campus Drive, Bear Mountain Boulevard, Derby and Tucker Streets.

In past years, the City has provided an in-kind contribution of city staff time to support this event. After reviewing the current budget, staff has determined there are sufficient funds to cover the cost of this year’s event as well.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:
Staff has conducted an assessment of this event as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and determined that it is categorically exempt under CEQA Guidelines section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and 15323 (Normal Operations of Facilities for Public Gatherings) as there is a past history of using the existing road and sidewalk facilities for public gatherings such as parades, and the event will not involve expansion of use of these facilities.
beyond that currently exist. Further, none of the exceptions to the Categorical Exemptions under CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2 are applicable.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**
The estimated cost of city staff time is approximately $1,500, which includes $1,000 for police staff time and $500 of Maintenance & Infrastructure staff time.
RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARVIN TO APPROVE THE ARVIN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT APPLICATION FOR THE ANNUAL CHRISTMAS PARADE 2019 AND WAIVE CITY COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS EVENT.

WHEREAS, the Arvin Chamber of Commerce has submitted a Special Event Permit Application for their annual Christmas parade; and

WHEREAS, the parade is scheduled for December 2, 2019 and will begin at 7:00 pm; and

WHEREAS, setup for this event will begin at 5:30 pm and the event is expected to conclude by 8:30 pm; and

WHEREAS, the parade route is Campus Drive, Bear Mountain Boulevard, Derby and Tucker Streets; and

WHEREAS, Caltrans requires authorization from the City of Arvin in order to approve an encroachment permit for the parade event on State Highway 223 (Bear Mountain Blvd.); and

WHEREAS, the Parade benefits the community of Arvin by bringing all residents together in a celebration on City streets, while providing economic enhancement to local businesses; and

WHEREAS, in the past, the City has provided an in-kind contribution of city staff time to support this event; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the current budget, staff has determined there are sufficient funds to cover the cost of this year’s event; and

WHEREAS, the City Council determines that the project is categorically exempt under CEQA Guidelines section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and 15323 (Normal Operations of Facilities for Public Gatherings) as there is a past history of using the existing road and sidewalk facilities for public gatherings such as parades, and the event will not involve expansion of use of these facilities beyond that currently existing. Further, none of the exceptions to the Categorical Exemptions under CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2 are applicable.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT: The City Council of the City of Arvin does hereby:

Section 1: Approve the Arvin Chamber of Commerce’s Special Event Permit Application and waives City costs associated with this event.

Section 2. Approve the encroachment permit for the parade event on State Highway 223.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Arvin at a Regular Meeting thereof held on the 24th day of September, 2019 by the following vote:

ATTEST

CECILIA VELA, City Clerk

CITY OF ARVIN

By: ____________________________

JOSE GURROLA, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: ____________________________

SHANNON L. CHAFFIN, City Attorney
Aleshire & Wynder, LLP

I, _____________________________, City Clerk of the City of Arvin, California, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of the Resolution passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Arvin on the date and by the vote indicated herein.
CITY OF ARVIN
City Clerk's Office
200 Campus Drive
Arvin, CA 93203
Phone: (661) 854-3134 – Fax: (661) 854-0817

SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT APPLICATION
ONLY COMPLETED APPLICATIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED

EVENT INFORMATION

☐ Concert Performance  ☐ Festival  ☐ Fundraiser
☐ Live Music  ☐ Parade/Processions  ☐ Race/Walk
☐ Tournament
☐ Other ____________________________

Event Title: ARVIN CHRISTMAS PARADE  
Estimated Attendance Per Day: 3000  Participants: 50  Spectators: 3000
Admission Fee?  ☑ No  ☐ Yes – Describe
Actual Event Hours: 5:30 pm Set Up/Assembly  Date: 12/4/19  Start Time: 7:00
Break Down/Dismantle  Date: 12/4/19  Completion Time: 8:30 pm
Location Address (exact address): CAMPUS DR.  E/B H/CY 223 TO DERBY
Total Number of Consecutive Days: 1  Site Plan Attached:  ☑ Yes  ☐ No  (Circle)
List any streets that require closure for this event: SR 223  @ MEYER/CAMPUS TO DERBY

APPLICANT & SPONSORING ORGANIZATION INFORMATION

☐ Commercial  ☑ Non-Commercial
Host sponsoring organization(s): ARVIN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE  Contact Person: FREDI CASTREJON
Address: PO BOX 645  City: ARVIN  Zip: 93203
Phone: (661) 854-2245  Fax: Email:

Please list name, address, phone and email of any professional organizer of event planner hired by you to produce this event:
Name: Address:
Phone: Email:

If professional event organizer is applying for this permit, a letter from the Chief Officer of the organization which authorized the organizer to apply for this permit is required.

Responsible person "onsite" day of event: FREDI CASTREJON  Cell Phone:

Person listed above MUST be in attendance for the duration of the event and immediately available to City officials.

Special Event Application (Rev. 04/08/2019)
Page 1 of 3
Phone number for public event information: 6061-854-2265

Describe parking arrangements in detail for event: 

Is plan attached? Yes No (circle)

Is this event open to the public: No Yes – Describe

Traffic safety equipment required: No Yes – Describe

Provide a detailed traffic plan for road closures: Is plan attached: Yes No (circle)

Describe entertainment & related activities (if not, please explain)

Will food be Served Sold No If yes – Contact person Phone:

Will food be prepared at event Yes No

Will there be a Drawing

Will there be sound amplification? No Yes – Indoors Yes – Outdoors

Hours and type of use: 7-8 pm PA SYSTEM

Describe sound equipment: PA SYSTEM

Amplified sound requires an onsite contact person – Name: Pleg Casterson Cell Phone:

Will there be canopies or tents? No Yes – Size and Number

Date installed: Date Removed: Name of Supplier:

Will booths, bleachers, stages or structures be erected? No Yes – Describe

Will signs or banners be used? No Yes – Describe

Will there be generators, vehicles, boats or other equipment? No Yes – Describe

Will there be commercial filming of this event? No Yes – Describe

Any other commercial aspects? None

Additional information

REQUEST ARVIN PD – TO ENFORCE "NO STREET VENDORS ALLOWED"
APPLICANT MUST COMPLETE ALL THREE PAGES OF THIS DOCUMENT

I, THE UNDERSIGNED, ACKNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTAND THAT I AM RESPONSIBLE TO COMPLY WITH THE INFORMATION, RESTRICTIONS AND CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT WHEN ISSUED. I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RESPONSIBILITY FOR PENALTIES ASSOCIATED WITH NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE PERMIT CONDITIONS, WHETHER OR NOT I AM PRESENT AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION.

[INITIALS]

I hereby certify the foregoing statements to be true and correct, and agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Arvin, its City Council, officers, agents, employees and volunteers from and against any and all loss, claims, damages, liability, such claim or suit arising from or in any manner connected to the request activity. I also agree, if approved, to comply with all permit conditions, and understand that failure to comply with any condition or any violation of law may result in the immediate cancellation of the event, denial of future events, and/or criminal prosecution. For events held at City parks, the park is provide on an "as is" basis, and the City of Arvin is not responsible for any costs associated with the event. I agree that I am responsible for returning the park in its condition when first reserved. I also agree that I am responsible for payment to the City of Arvin for any damage to any and all City property including but not limited to fences, roads, trails, trees, sprinklers, or utilities that occurs due to my event.

Failure to comply with permit conditions can result in revocation of the permit, administrative citation(s), fines and denial of future permit applications.

Print Your Name: [Redacted] Signature: [Redacted] Date: 9/17/2019

Attachments received: Ậ Insurance Cert & Endorsement Page 荑 Plot Plan (indoor / outdoor)

For City Use Only

POLICE DEPARTMENT: Approved: ______ Denied: ______

Signature of Department Official ____________________________ Print Name ____________________________ Date ____________

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK: Approved: ______ Denied: ______

Signature of the City Clerk ____________________________ Print Name ____________________________ Date ____________
CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFER NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

PRODUCER
Randel D. Thompson Insurance
400 Bear Mountain Blvd.
P.O. Box 668
Arvin CA 93203

CONTACT NAME: Randel D. Thompson/Broker 0630337
PHONE (AIC. No.: 661-854-5581
FAX (AIC. No.: 661-854-9520
E-MAIL: randelthompsoninsurance@gmail.com
INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE NAIC #
Burlington Insurance Company

INSURED
Arvin Chamber of Commerce
PO Box 645
Arvin Ca 93203

COVERAGES CERTIFICATE NUMBER:

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES OF INSURANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE INSURED NAMED ABOVE FOR THE POLICY PERIOD INDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REQUIREMENT, TERM OR CONDITION OF ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY PERTAIN, THE INSURANCE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ALL THE TERMS, EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH POLICIES. LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAID CLAIMS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF INSURANCE</th>
<th>LIMITS</th>
<th>POLICY NUMBER</th>
<th>POLICY EFFECT</th>
<th>POLICY EXPIRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>796B002160</td>
<td>06/01/2019</td>
<td>06/01/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIQUOR LIABILITY</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>796B002160</td>
<td>06/01/2019</td>
<td>06/01/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WORKERS COMPENSATION
AND EMPLOYER'S LIABILITY

DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICLES (ACORD 101, Additional Remarks Schedule, may be attached if more space is required)

Arvin Chamber of Commerce Annual Christmas Parade
Monday Dec. 02, 2019 7:00 PM

Additional Insureds listed below:

CERTIFICATE HOLDER

Named as additional Insured
City of Arvin, It's Officers, Officials, Employees, Agents and Volunteers
200 Campus Drive
Arvin, CA 93203

CANCELLATION

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
Randel D. Thompson/Broker
CA#0630337

© 1988-2015 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved.

ACORD 25 (2016/03)
The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD
TO: City Council
FROM: Jerry Breckinridge, City Manager

SUBJECT: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARVIN TO APPROVE THE SAINT THOMAS CHURCH SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT APPLICATION FOR THEIR ANNUAL OUR LADY OF GUADALUPE PROCESSION 2019 AND WAIVE CITY COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS EVENT

RECOMMENDATION:
Staff recommends approval of the St. Thomas Church’s Special Event Permit Application due to Caltrans’ requirement for authorization from the City to approve an encroachment permit, which is necessary for the parade route on State Highway 223 (Bear Mountain Boulevard). Staff also recommends the City Council consider waiving costs associated with this event.

BACKGROUND:
Our Lady of Guadalupe Procession is scheduled for December 08, 2019. Set up will begin at 10:00 am. The procession will begin at 11:00am and conclude at 12:30pm. The procession will begin at the intersection of Campus Drive and Fourth St. The procession will travel south onto Bear Mountain Boulevard then head east and terminate at Saint Thomas Catholic Church, 350 E. Bear Mountain Boulevard.

The Arvin Police Department will provide traffic control and will maintain safety for participants and spectators and the Public Works Division will set up barricades for the event and will remove them after the event. Eastbound traffic on Bear Mountain Boulevard will be diverted south on Comanche from Bear Mountain Boulevard.

In past years, the City has provided an in-kind contribution of city staff time to support this event. This is an important community event and is well attended by the citizens of Arvin, bringing families together and enhancing local businesses. After reviewing the current budget, staff has determined there are sufficient funds to cover the cost of this year’s event.
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:
Staff has conducted an assessment of this event as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and determined that it is categorically exempt under CEQA Guidelines section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and 15323 (Normal Operations of Facilities for Public Gatherings) as there is a past history of using the existing road and sidewalk facilities for public gatherings such as parades, and the event will not involve expansion of use of these facilities beyond that currently existing. Further, none of the exceptions to the Categorical Exemptions under CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2 are applicable.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
Estimated total cost for City staff time is $1,100.
RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARVIN TO APPROVE THE SAINT THOMAS CHURCH SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT APPLICATION FOR THEIR ANNUAL OUR LADY OF GUADALUPE PROCESSION 2019 AND WAIVE CITY COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS EVENT

WHEREAS, the Saint Thomas Church has submitted a Special Event Permit Application for their Annual Our Lady of Guadalupe Procession; and

WHEREAS, the procession is scheduled for December 08, 2019 and will begin at 11:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS, set up will begin at 10:00 am, the procession will begin at 11:00 a.m. and conclude at 12:30 pm; and

WHEREAS, the procession will begin at the intersection of Campus Drive and Fourth Street. The procession will travel south onto Bear Mountain Boulevard then head east and terminate at Saint Thomas Catholic Church, 350 E. Bear Mountain Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, Caltrans requires authorization from the City of Arvin in order to approve an encroachment permit for the procession event on State Highway 223 (Bear Mountain Boulevard); and

WHEREAS, in past years, the City has provided an in-kind contribution of city staff time to support this event; and

WHEREAS, this has become an important community and cultural event bringing citizens and families together while enhancing local businesses before, during and after the parade; and after a review of the current budget, there are sufficient funds to cover the cost of this year’s event; and

WHEREAS, the City Council determines that the project is categorically exempt under CEQA Guidelines section 15301(Existing Facilities) and 15323 (Normal Operations of Facilities for Public Gatherings) as there is a past history of using the existing road and sidewalk facilities for public gatherings such as parades, and the event will not involve expansion of use of these facilities beyond that currently existing. Further, none of the exceptions to the Categorical Exemptions under CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2 are applicable.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Council of the City of Arvin does hereby:
Section 1. Approve the St. Thomas Church Special Event Permit Application, and further waives City costs associated with this event.

Section 2. Approve the encroachment permit for the procession event on State Highway 223.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Arvin at a Regular Meeting thereof held on the 24th day of September, 2019 by the following vote:

ATTEST

___________________________
CECILIA VELA, City Clerk

CITY OF ARVIN

By: __________________________
    JOSE GURROLA, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: __________________________
    SHANNON L. CHAFFIN, City Attorney
    Aleshire & Wynder, LLP

I, ____________________________, City Clerk of the City of Arvin, California, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of the Resolution passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Arvin on the date and by the vote indicated herein.
CITY OF ARVING
City Clerk's Office
200 Campus Drive
Arvin, CA 93203
Phone: (661) 854-3134 – Fax: (661) 854-0817

SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT APPLICATION
 ONLY COMPLETED APPLICATIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED

EVENT INFORMATION

☐ Concert Performance  ☐ Festival  ☐ Fundraiser
☐ Live Music  ☐ Parade/Processions  ☐ Race/Walk
☐ Tournament  ☐ Other

Event Title: Annual Procession for Our Lady of Guadalupe  EVENT DATE: 12/8/19
Estimated Attendance Per Day: 4,000  Participants: 500  Spectators: 3,000

Admission Fee? ☑ No  ☐ Yes – Describe

Actual Event Hours: 1 hr 30 min  Set Up/Assembly: 1 hr
Break Down/Dismantle Date: 12/9/19  Start Time: 11:00 A.M.

Location Address (exact address): 350 E. Bear Mountain

Total Number of Consecutive Days: 1 Day only  Site Plan Attached: ☑ Yes No (Circle)

List any streets that require closure for this event: Hwy 243 from Commander Rd to Towerline Rd

APPLICANT & SPONSORING ORGANIZATION INFORMATION

☐ Commercial  ☐ Non-Commercial

Host sponsoring organization(s): St. Thomas Church  Contact Person: Rev. Jorge Robles
Address: 350 E. Bear Mountain Blvd  City: Arvin, CA  Zip: 93203
Phone: (661) 854-6150  Fax:  Email:

Please list name, address, phone and email of any professional organizer of event planner hired by you to produce this event:
Name:  Address:  
Phone:  Email:  

If professional event organizer is applying for this permit, a letter from the Chief Officer of the organization which authorized the organizer to apply for this permit is required.

Responsible person "onsite" day of event: Rev. Jorge Robles  Cell Phone:  

Person listed above MUST be in attendance for the duration of the event and immediately available to City officials.

Special Event Application (Rev. 04/08/2019)
Page 1 of 3
APPLICANT MUST COMPLETE ALL THREE PAGES OF THIS DOCUMENT

EVENT INFORMATION

Phone number for public event information: (617) 854-4450

Describe parking arrangements in detail for event:

Vehicles will park west of the Church parking lot.

Is plan attached? Yes [ ] No [ ] (circle)

Is this event open to the public: [ ] No [ ] Yes – Describe

Traffic safety equipment required: [ ] Yes – Describe

Provide a detailed traffic plan for road closures: Is plan attached: [ ] Yes [ ] No (circle)

Describe entertainment & related activities (if not, please explain)

Procession

Will food be [ ] Served [ ] Sold [ ] No

If yes – Contact person: [ Rev. Jorge ] Phone: [ ]

Will food be prepared at event [ ] Yes [ ] No

Will there be a [ ] Drawing [ ] No

Will there be sound amplification? [ ] No [ ] Yes – Indoors [ ] Yes – Outdoors

Hours and type of use: 11:00 AM – 12:30 PM During Procession

Describe sound equipment: Speakers

Amplified sound requires an onsite contact person – Name: [ Rev. Jorge ] Phone: [ ]

Will there be canopies or tents: [ ] No [ ] Yes – Size and Number

Date installed: [ ] Date Removed: [ ] Name of Supplier: [ ]

Will booths, bleachers, stages or structures be erected? [ ] No [ ] Yes – Describe

Will signs or banners be used? [ ] No [ ] Yes – Describe

Will there be generators, vehicles, boats or other equipment? [ ] No [ ] Yes – Describe

Will there be commercial filming of this event? [ ] No [ ] Yes – Describe

Any other commercial aspects? None

Additional information: [ Flat bed trailer ]
APPLICANT MUST COMPLETE ALL THREE PAGES OF THIS DOCUMENT

I, THE UNDERSIGNED, ACKNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTAND THAT I AM RESPONSIBLE TO COMPLY WITH THE INFORMATION, RESTRICTIONS AND CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT WHEN ISSUED. I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RESPONSIBILITY FOR PENALTIES ASSOCIATED WITH NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE PERMIT CONDITIONS, WHETHER OR NOT I AM PRESENT AT THE TIME OF THE VIOLATION. (INITIALS)

I hereby certify the foregoing statements to be true and correct, and agree to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Arvin, its City Council, officers, agents, employees and volunteers from and against any and all loss, claims, damages, liability, such claim or suit arising from or in any manner connected to the request activity. I also agree, if approved, to comply with all permit conditions, and understand that failure to comply with any condition or any violation of law may result in the immediate cancellation of the event, denial of future events, and/or criminal prosecution. For events held at City parks, the park is provided on an “as is” basis, and the City of Arvin is not responsible for any costs associated with the event. I agree that I am responsible for returning the park in its condition when first reserved. I also agree that I am responsible for payment to the City of Arvin for any damage to any and all City property including but not limited to fences, roads, trails, trees, sprinklers, or utilities that occurs due to my event.

Failure to comply with permit conditions can result in revocation of the permit, administrative citation(s), fines and denial of future permit applications.

Print Your Name: Fr. Jorge Robles Signature: [Redacted] Date: 09/13/2019

Attachments received: ☑️ Insurance Cert & Endorsement Page ☑️ Plot Plan (indoor / outdoor)

For City Use Only

POLICE DEPARTMENT:

Approved: _______ Denied: _______

Signature of Department Official

Print Name

Date

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK:

Approved: _______ Denied: _______

Signature of the City Clerk

Print Name

Date

Special Event Application (Rev. 04/08/2019)
Page 3 of 3
Pink procession route
Green street blocking

Attachment: Special Event Permit Application, Insurance and Route St. Thomas Church Our Lady of Guadalupe Procession_092419 (Special
OUR LADY OF GUADALUPE PROCESSION TRUCK DETOUR
ROUTE

LEGEND:
- COMANCHE DRIVE/BEAR MOUNTAIN BLVD
- COMANCHE DRIVE/SYCAMORE RD
- SYCAMORE RD/TOWER LINE RD
- TOWER LINE RD/BEAR MOUNTAIN BLVD

Attachment: Special Event Permit Application, Insurance and Route St. Thomas Church OurLady of Guadalupe Procession_092419 (Special
Certificate of Coverage

This Certificate is issued as a matter of information only and confers no rights upon the holder of this certificate. This certificate does not amend, extend or alter the coverage afforded below.

Company Affording Coverage
THE CATHOLIC MUTUAL RELIEF
SOCIETY OF AMERICA
10843 OLD MILL RD
OMAHA, NE 68154

Covered Location
St. Thomas the Apostle Catholic Church
350 E. Bear Mountain Blvd.
Arvin, CA 93203-1538

Coverages

This is to certify that the coverages listed below have been issued to the certificate holder named above for the certificate indicated, notwithstanding any requirement, term or condition of any contract or other document with respect to which this certificate may be issued or may pertain, the coverage afforded described herein is subject to all the terms, exclusions and conditions of such coverage. Limits shown may have been reduced by paid claims.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Coverage</th>
<th>Certificate Number</th>
<th>Coverage Effective Date</th>
<th>Coverage Expiration Date</th>
<th>Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/2019</td>
<td>7/1/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Real &amp; Personal Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. General Liability</td>
<td>9092</td>
<td>7/1/2019</td>
<td>7/1/2020</td>
<td>Each Occurrence 500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General Aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Products-Comp/OP Agg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fire Damage (Any one fire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Med Exp (Any one person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Each Occurrence Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aggregate Claims Made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of Operations/Locations/Vehicles/Special Items (the following language supersedes any other language in this endorsement or the Certificate in conflict with this language)
Coverage is verified for claims arising out of St. Thomas the Apostle's Our Lady of Guadalupe Procession to be held on December 8, 2019.

Holder of Certificate
City of Arvin, its officers, officials, employees, agents & volunteers
200 Campus Drive
Arvin, CA 93203

Cancellation
Should any of the above described coverages be cancelled before the expiration date thereof, the issuing company will endeavor to mail 30 days written notice to the holder of certificate named to the left, but failure to mail such notice shall impose no obligation or liability of any kind upon the company, its agents or representatives.

Authorized Representative

0797002390
September 6, 2019

To: Mayors, City Managers and City Clerks

From: Dan Carrigg, Deputy Executive Director and Legislative Director, League of California Cities

Re: League’s 2019 Annual Conference Resolutions Packet

Please find an enclosed copy of the Resolutions Packet for the League of California Cities’ 2019 Annual Conference, October 16-18 in Long Beach. The conference announcement has previously been sent to all cities and we hope that you and your colleagues will be able to join us. More information about the conference is available on the League’s Web site at www.cacities.org/ac.

Two resolutions have been submitted. The attached comprehensive packet contains the text of the proposed resolutions, background materials supplied by the sponsors, supporting letters from cities and city officials, and League staff analyses for each resolution. The packet also includes detailed information on the League’s resolution process including meeting locations and times when the resolutions will be considered. A copy of the resolution packet is posted on the League’s website for your convenience: www.cacities.org/resolutions.

Resolutions:

- **Resolution 1 - Amendment to Rule 20A** – Calls upon the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to expand its Rule 20A program for undergrounding overhead utilities to include projects in high fire hazard severity zones.
- **Resolution 2 - International Transboundary Pollution Flows** – Calls upon the state and the federal governments of the U.S. and Mexico to address water quality issues resulting from transboundary flows from Mexico’s Tijuana River into the United States.

**Closing Luncheon/General Assembly** - Friday, October 18, 12:30 p.m., Long Beach Convention Center.

**Voting Delegates:** In order to vote at the Annual Business Meeting, your city council must designate a voting delegate. Your city may also appoint up to two alternate voting delegates, one of whom may vote in the event that the designated voting delegate is unable to serve in that capacity. If your city has not already done so, please complete the Voting Delegate form and return it to the League’s office no later than Friday, October 4. This will allow us time to establish voting delegate/alternate records prior to the conference.

We encourage each city council to consider the resolutions and to determine a city position so that your voting delegate can represent your city’s position on the resolution. Should you have any questions regarding the attached material, please contact Carly Shelby cshelby@cacities.org 916-658-8279 or Meg Desmond mdesmond@cacities.org 916-658-8224 at the League office.
INFORMATION AND PROCEDURES

RESOLUTIONS CONTAINED IN THIS PACKET: The League bylaws provide that resolutions shall be referred by the president to an appropriate policy committee for review and recommendation. Resolutions with committee recommendations shall then be considered by the General Resolutions Committee at the Annual Conference.

This year, two resolutions have been introduced for consideration at the Annual Conference and referred to League policy committees.

POLICY COMMITTEES: Two policy committees will meet at the Annual Conference to consider and take action on the resolutions referred to them. The committees are: Environmental Quality and Transportation, Communication & Public Works. The committees will meet from 9:00 – 11:00 a.m. on Wednesday, October 16, at the Hyatt Regency Long Beach. The sponsors of the resolutions have been notified of the time and location of the meeting.

GENERAL RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE: This committee will meet at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, October 17, at the Hyatt Regency Long Beach, to consider the reports of the policy committees regarding the resolutions. This committee includes one representative from each of the League’s regional divisions, functional departments and standing policy committees, as well as other individuals appointed by the League president. Please check in at the registration desk for room location.

ANNUAL LUNCHEON/BUSINESS MEETING/GENERAL ASSEMBLY: This meeting will be held at 12:30 p.m. on Friday, October 18, at the Long Beach Convention Center.

PETITIONED RESOLUTIONS: For those issues that develop after the normal 60-day deadline, a resolution may be introduced at the Annual Conference with a petition signed by designated voting delegates of 10 percent of all member cities (48 valid signatures required) and presented to the Voting Delegates Desk at least 24 hours prior to the time set for convening the Annual Business Meeting of the General Assembly. This year, that deadline is 12:30 p.m., Thursday, October 17. Resolutions can be viewed on the League’s Web site: www.cacities.org/resolutions.

Any questions concerning the resolutions procedures may be directed to Carly Shelby cshelby@cacities.org 916-658-8279 or Nick Romo nromo@cacities.org 916-658-8232 at the League office.
GUIDELINES FOR ANNUAL CONFERENCE RESOLUTIONS

Policy development is a vital and ongoing process within the League. The principal means for deciding policy on the important issues facing cities is through the League’s seven standing policy committees and the board of directors. The process allows for timely consideration of issues in a changing environment and assures city officials the opportunity to both initiate and influence policy decisions.

Annual conference resolutions constitute an additional way to develop League policy. Resolutions should adhere to the following criteria.

**Guidelines for Annual Conference Resolutions**

1. Only issues that have a direct bearing on municipal affairs should be considered or adopted at the Annual Conference.

2. The issue is not of a purely local or regional concern.

3. The recommended policy should not simply restate existing League policy.

4. The resolution should be directed at achieving one of the following objectives:
   
   (a) Focus public or media attention on an issue of major importance to cities.

   (b) Establish a new direction for League policy by establishing general principles around which more detailed policies may be developed by policy committees and the board of directors.

   (c) Consider important issues not adequately addressed by the policy committees and board of directors.

   (d) Amend the League bylaws (requires 2/3 vote at General Assembly).
LOCATION OF MEETINGS

Policy Committee Meetings
Wednesday, October 16, 9:00 – 11:00 a.m.
Hyatt Regency Long Beach
200 South Pine Avenue, Long Beach

The following committees will be meeting:
   1. Environmental Quality 10:00 - 11:00 a.m.
   2. Transportation, Communication & Public Works 9:00 - 10:00 a.m.

General Resolutions Committee
Thursday, October 17, 1:00 p.m.
Hyatt Regency Long Beach
200 South Pine Avenue, Long Beach

Annual Business Meeting and General Assembly Luncheon
Friday, October 18, 12:30 p.m.
Long Beach Convention Center
300 East Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach
KEY TO ACTIONS TAKEN ON RESOLUTIONS

Resolutions have been grouped by policy committees to which they have been assigned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Key Word Index</th>
<th>Reviewing Body Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Policy Committee Recommendation to General Resolutions Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 - General Resolutions Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 - General Assembly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY POLICY COMMITTEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Amendment to Rule 20A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 International Transboundary Pollution Flows</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATION & PUBLIC WORKS POLICY COMMITTEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Amendment to Rule 20A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information pertaining to the Annual Conference Resolutions will also be posted on each committee’s page on the League website: [www.cacities.org](http://www.cacities.org). The entire Resolutions Packet is posted at: [www.cacities.org/resolutions](http://www.cacities.org/resolutions).
KEY TO ACTIONS TAKEN ON RESOLUTIONS (Continued)

Resolutions have been grouped by policy committees to which they have been assigned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY TO REVIEWING BODIES</th>
<th>KEY TO ACTIONS TAKEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Policy Committee</td>
<td>A   Approve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. General Resolutions Committee</td>
<td>D   Disapprove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. General Assembly</td>
<td>N   No Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ACTION FOOTNOTES**

* Subject matter covered in another resolution

** Existing League policy

*** Local authority presently exists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION FOOTNOTES</th>
<th>KEY TO ACTIONS TAKEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Amend+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aa</td>
<td>Approve as amended+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaa</td>
<td>Approve with additional amendment(s)+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra</td>
<td>Refer as amended to appropriate policy committee for study+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raa</td>
<td>Additional amendments and refer+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da</td>
<td>Amend (for clarity or brevity) and Disapprove+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Amend (for clarity or brevity) and take No Action+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Withdrawn by Sponsor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Procedural Note:**
The League of California Cities resolution process at the Annual Conference is guided by League Bylaws. A helpful explanation of this process can be found on the League's website by clicking on this link: Guidelines for the Annual Conference Resolutions Process.
# League of California Cities Resolution Process

## REGULAR RESOLUTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Committee Action</th>
<th>General Resolutions Committee Action</th>
<th>Calendar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Consent Calendar&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Disapprove or Refer</td>
<td>Regular Calendar&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove or Refer</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Regular Calendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove or Refer</td>
<td>Disapprove or Refer</td>
<td>Does not proceed to General Assembly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PETITION RESOLUTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Committee Action</th>
<th>General Resolutions Committee Action</th>
<th>Calendar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Heard in Policy Committee</td>
<td>Approve</td>
<td>Consent Calendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Heard in Policy Committee</td>
<td>Disapprove or Refer</td>
<td>Regular Calendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Heard in Policy Committee</td>
<td>Disqualified per Bylaws Art. VI</td>
<td>Does not proceed to General Assembly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Resolutions

- Submitted 60 days prior to conference *Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 4(a)*
- Signatures of at least 5 supporting cities or city officials submitted with the proposed resolution *Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 2*
- Assigned to policy committee(s) by League president *Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 4(b)(i)*
- Heard in policy committee(s) and report recommendation, if any, to GRC *Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 4(b)(ii)*
- Heard in GRC
  - Approved by policy committee(s) and GRC, goes on to General Assembly on consent calendar *2006 General Assembly Resolution Sec. 2(C)*
  - If amended/approved by all policy committee(s) to which it has been referred and disapproved by GRC, then goes on to General Assembly on the regular calendar. If not all policy committees to which it has been referred recommend amendment or approval, and the GRC disapproves or refers the resolution, the resolution does not move to the General Assembly *2006 General Assembly Resolution Sec. 2(A),(C); 1998 General Assembly Resolution, 1st Resolved Clause*
  - If disapproved by all policy committees to which it has been referred and disapproved by the GRC, resolution does not move to the General Assembly *2006 General Assembly Resolution Sec. 2(C)*
- Heard in General Assembly

<sup>1</sup> The consent calendar should only be used for resolutions where there is unanimity between the policy committees and the GRC that a resolution should be approved by the General Assembly, and therefore, it can be concluded that there will be less desire to debate the resolution on the floor.

<sup>2</sup> The regular calendar is for resolutions for which there is a difference in recommendations between the policy committees and the GRC.
Petitioned Resolutions

- Submitted by voting delegate Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 5 (a)
- Must be signed by voting delegates representing 10% of the member cities Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 5 (c)
- Signatures confirmed by League staff
- Submitted to the League president for confirmation 24 hours before the beginning of the General Assembly. Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 5 (d)
- Petition to be reviewed by Parliamentarian for required signatures of voting delegates and for form and substance Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 5(e)
- Parliamentarian’s report is presented to chair of GRC
- Will be heard at GRC for action (GRC cannot amend but may recommend by a majority vote to the GA technical or clarifying amendments) 2006 General Assembly Resolution sec. 6(A), (B)
- GRC may disqualify if:
  - Non-germane to city issues
  - Identical or substantially similar in substance to a resolution already under consideration Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 5(e), (f)
- Heard in General Assembly
  - General Assembly will consider the resolution following the other resolutions 3 Bylaws Article VI, Sec. 5(g)
  - Substantive amendments that change the intent of the petitioned resolution may only be adopted by the GA 2006 General Assembly Resolution sec. 6(C)

Voting Procedure in the General Assembly

Consent Calendar: Resolution approved by Policy Committee(s) and GRC. Petitioned resolution approved by GRC)

- GRC Chair will be asked to give the report from the GRC and will ask for adoption of the GRC’s recommendations
- Ask delegates if there is a desire to call out a resolution for discussion
- A voting delegate may make a motion to remove a resolution from the consent calendar for discussion
- If a motion is made to pull a resolution, the General Assembly votes on whether to pull the resolution from the consent calendar.
- If a majority of the General Assembly votes to pull the resolution, set “called out” reso(s) aside. If the motion fails, the resolution remains on the consent calendar.
- If reso(s) not called out, or after ‘called out” reso is set aside, then ask for vote on remaining resos left on consent
- Move on to debate on reso(s) called out
- After debate, a vote is taken
- Voting delegates vote on resolutions by raising their voting cards.

---

3 Petitioned Resolutions on the Consent Calendar will be placed after all General Resolutions on the Consent Calendar. Petitioned Resolutions on the Regular Calendar will be placed after all General Resolutions on the Regular Calendar.

4 Amendments to League bylaws require 2/3 vote
**Regular Calendar:** Regular resolutions approved by Policy Committee(s)\(^5\), and GRC recommends disapproval or referral; Regular resolutions disapproved or referred by Policy Committee(s)\(^5\) and GRC approves; Petitioned resolutions disapproved or referred by the GRC.

- Open the floor to determine if a voting delegate wishes to debate a resolution on the regular calendar.
- If no voting delegate requests a debate on the resolution, a vote to ratify the recommendation of the GRC on the resolution is taken.
- Upon a motion by a voting delegate to debate a resolution, a debate shall be held if approved by a majority vote of the General Assembly. If a majority of the General Assembly to debate the resolution is not achieved, then a vote shall be taken on whether to ratify the GRC’s recommendation. If a majority of the General Assembly approves of the motion to debate the resolution, debate will occur. After debate on the resolution, a vote is taken based upon the substitute motion that was made, if any, or on the question of ratifying the GRC’s recommendation.
- Voting delegates vote by raising their voting cards.

---

\(^5\) Applies in the instance where the GRC recommendation of disapproval or refer is counter to the recommendations of the policy committees.

\(^6\) Applies in the instance where the GRC recommendation to approve is counter to the recommendations of the policy committees.
1. RESOLUTION OF THE LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES CALLING ON THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION TO AMEND RULE 20A TO ADD PROJECTS IN VERY HIGH FIRE HAZARD SEVERITY ZONES TO THE LIST OF ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA AND TO INCREASE FUNDING ALLOCATIONS FOR RULE 20A PROJECTS

Source: City of Rancho Palos Verdes
Concurrence of five or more cities/city officials
Cities: City of Hidden Hills, City of La Cañada Flintridge, City of Laguna Beach, City of Lakeport, City of Malibu, City of Moorpark, City of Nevada City, City of Palos Verdes Estates, City of Rolling Hills Estates, City of Rolling Hills, City of Ventura
Referred to: Environmental Quality Policy Committee; Transportation, Communications, and Public Works Policy Committee

WHEREAS, the California Public Utilities Commission regulates the undergrounding conversion of overhead utilities under Electric Tariff Rule 20 and;

WHEREAS, conversion projects deemed to have a public benefit are eligible to be funded by ratepayers under Rule 20A; and

WHEREAS, the criteria under Rule 20A largely restricts eligible projects to those along streets with high volumes of public traffic; and

WHEREAS, the cost of undergrounding projects that do not meet Rule 20A criteria is left mostly or entirely to property owners under other parts of Rule 20; and

WHEREAS, California is experiencing fire seasons of worsening severity; and

WHEREAS, undergrounding overhead utilities that can spark brush fires is an important tool in preventing them and offers a public benefit; and

WHEREAS, brush fires are not restricted to starting near streets with high volumes of public traffic; and

WHEREAS, expanding Rule 20A criteria to include Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones would facilitate undergrounding projects that would help prevent fires; and

WHEREAS, expanding Rule 20A criteria as described above and increasing funding allocations for Rule 20A projects would lead to more undergrounding in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones; and now therefore let it be,

RESOLVED that the League of California Cities calls on the California Public Utilities Commission to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility and to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.
Background Information on Resolution No. 1

Source: City of Rancho Palos Verdes

Background:
Rancho Palos Verdes is the most populated California city to have 90 percent or more of residents living in a Cal Fire-designated Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. Over the years, the Palos Verdes Peninsula has seen numerous brush fires that were determined to be caused by electrical utility equipment.

Across the state, some of the most destructive and deadly wildfires were sparked by power equipment. But when it comes to undergrounding overhead utilities, fire safety is not taken into account when considering using ratepayer funds to pay for these projects under California’s Electric Tariff Rule 20 program. The program was largely intended to address visual blight when it was implemented in 1967. Under Rule 20A, utilities must allocate ratepayer funds to undergrounding conversion projects chosen by local governments that have a public benefit and meet one or more of the following criteria:

- Eliminate an unusually heavy concentration of overhead lines;
- Involve a street or road with a high volume of public traffic;
- Benefit a civic or public recreation area or area of unusual scenic interest; and,
- Be listed as an arterial street or major collector as defined in the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR) Guidelines.

As we know, brush fires are not restricted to erupting in these limited areas. California’s fire season has worsened in severity in recent years, claiming dozens of lives and destroying tens of thousands of structures in 2018 alone.

Excluding fire safety from Rule 20A eligibility criteria puts the task of undergrounding power lines in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones squarely on property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill.

The proposed resolution calls on the California Public Utilities Commission to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the proposed resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

If adopted, utilities will be incentivized to prioritize undergrounding projects that could potentially save millions of dollars and many lives.
League of California Cities Staff Analysis on Resolution No. 1

Staff: Rony Berdugo, Legislative Representative, Derek Dolfie, Legislative Representative, Caroline Cirrincione, Legislative Policy Analyst

Committees: Environmental Quality; Transportation, Communications, and Public Works

Summary:
This Resolution, in response to intensifying fire seasons and hazards associated with exposed energized utility lines, proposes that the League of California Cities (League) call upon the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to amend the Rule 20A program by expanding the criteria for undergrounding overhead utilities to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones (VHFHSZ). This Resolution also proposes that the League call upon the CPUC to increase utilities’ funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

Background

California Wildfires and Utilities
Over the last several years, the increasing severity and frequency of California’s wildfires have prompted state and local governments to seek urgent prevention and mitigation actions. Record breaking wildfires in Northern and Southern California in both 2017 and 2018 have caused destruction and loss of life. This severe fire trend has local officials seeking solutions to combat what is now a year-round fire season exacerbated by years of drought, intense weather patterns, untamed vegetation and global warming.

These conditions create a dangerous catalyst for wildfires caused by utilities as extreme wind and weather events make downed power lines more of a risk. In response to recent catastrophic wildfires, Governor Newsom established a Strike Force tasked with developing a “comprehensive roadmap” to address issues related to wildfires, climate change, and utilities. The Strike Force report acknowledges that measures to harden the electrical grid are critical to wildfire risk management. A key utility hardening strategy: undergrounding lines in extreme high-fire areas.

Governor Newsom’s Wildfire Strike Force program report concludes, “It’s not a question of “if” wildfire will strike, but “when.”

Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones
This Resolution seeks to expand the undergrounding of overhead utility lines in VHFHSZ. California Government Code Section 51178 requires the Director of the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CalFIRE) to identify areas in the state as VHFHSZ based on the potential fire hazard in those areas. VHFHSZ are determined based on fuel loading, slope, fire weather, and other relevant factors. These zones are in both local responsibility areas and state responsibility areas. Maps of the statewide and county by county VHFHSZ can be found here.¹

More than 25 million acres of California wildlands are classified under very high or extreme fire threat. Approximately 25 percent of the state’s population, 11 million people, live in those high-risk areas. Additionally, over 350,000 Californians live in cities that are nearly encompassed within Cal Fire’s maps of VHFHSZ. Similar to the proponents of this Resolution, City of Rancho Palos Verdes, over 75 communities have 90 percent or more of residents living in a VHFHSZ.

**CPUC Rule 20 Program**

The CPUC’s Rule 20 program lays out the guidelines and procedures for converting overhead electric and telecommunication facilities to underground electric facilities. Rule 20 funding and criteria is provided at four levels. Levels A, B, and C, reflect progressively diminishing ratepayer funding for undergrounding projects. Recently added Rule 20D is a relatively new program that is specific to San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E), which was created in response to the destructive 2007 wildfires. Each of these levels will be discussed below:

**Rule 20A**

The first California overhead conversion program, Rule 20A, was created in 1967 under then Governor Ronald Reagan. The program was created to provide a consistent and structured means of undergrounding utility lines throughout the state with costs covered broadly by utility ratepayers.

Each year, Investor Owned Utilities (IOUs) propose their Rule 20A allocation amounts to the CPUC during annual general rate case proceedings. In this process, IOUs propose revised utility customer rates based on expected service costs, new energy procurement and projects for the following year, including Rule 20 allocations. The CPUC then reviews, amends, and approves IOU rates. Currently, the cumulative budgeted amount for Rule 20A for Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E), Southern California Edison (SCE), and San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) totals around $95.7 million.

The funding set aside by IOUs for Rule 20A is allocated to local governments through a credit system, with each credit holding a value to be used solely for the costs of an undergrounding project. The credit system was created so that local governments and IOUs can complete undergrounding projects without municipal financing. Through Rule 20A, municipalities that have developed and received city council approval for an undergrounding plan receive annual credits from the IOU in their service area. At the last count by the CPUC, over 500 local governments (cities and counties) participate in the credit system.

While these credits have no inherent monetary value, they can be traded in or banked for the conversion of overhead lines. Municipalities can choose to accumulate their credits until their credit balance is sufficient to cover these conversion projects, or choose to borrow future undergrounding allocations for a period of up to five years. Once the cumulative balance of credits is sufficient to cover the cost of a conversion project, the municipality and the utility can move forward with the undergrounding. All of the planning, design, and construction is performed by the participating utility. Upon the completion of an undergrounding project, the utility is compensated through the local government’s Rule 20A credits.
At the outset of the program, the amount of allocated credits were determined by a formula which factored in the number of utility meters within a municipality in comparison to the utilities’ service territory. However, in recent years the formula has changed. Credit allocations for IOUs, except for PG&E, are now determined based on the allocation a city or county received in 1990 and is then adjusted for the following factors:

- 50% of the change from the 1990 total budgeted amount is allocated for the ratio of the number of overhead meters in any city or unincorporated area to the total system overhead meters; and
- 50% of the change from the 1990 total budgeted amount is allocated for the ratio of the number of meters (which includes older homes that have overhead services, and newer homes with completely underground services) in any city or the unincorporated area to the total system meters.

As noted, PG&E has a different funding formula for their Rule 20A credit allocations as they are not tied to the 1990 base allocation. Prior to 2011, PG&E was allocating approximately five to six percent of its revenue to the Rule 20A program. The CPUC decided in 2011 that PG&E’s Rule 20A allocations should be reduced by almost half in an effort to decrease the growing accumulation of credits amongst local governments. Since 2011, PG&E’s annual allocations for Rule 20A have been around $41.3 million annually, which is between two and three percent of their total revenue.

Criteria for Rule 20A Projects
For an undergrounding project to qualify for the Rule 20A program, there are several criteria that need to be met. The project must have a public benefit and:

1. Eliminate an unusually heavy concentration of overhead lines
2. Involve a street or road with a high volume of public traffic
3. Benefit a civic or public recreation area or area of unusual scenic interest,
4. Be listed as an arterial street or major collector as defined in the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR) Guidelines

Notably, fire safety is excluded from the list of criteria that favors aesthetic and other public safety projects.

Rule 20A Credit System Imbalance Threatens Program Effectiveness
Allocations are made by utilities each year for Rule 20A credits. These current budget allocations total $95.7 million a year. Currently, the cumulative balance of credits throughout the state totals over $1 billion dollars. The Rule 20A cumulative balances aggregated by region can be found here.²

Note: The existing credit allocation formulas do not consider a municipality's need or plans for overhead conversion projects, resulting in large credit balances in some jurisdictions.

Cities and counties are, however, able to trade or sell unallocated Rule 20A credits if they will not be used to fund local undergrounding projects. There have been several cases where one agency has sold their unused credits, often for less than the full dollar value of the credits themselves to another agency.

Rule 20B
Rule 20B projects are those that do not fit the Rule 20A criteria, but do, however, involve both sides of the street for at least 600 feet. These projects are typically done in conjunction with larger developments and are mostly paid for by the developer or applicant. Additionally, the applicant is responsible for the installation.

Rule 20C
Rule 20C projects are usually small projects that involve property owners. The majority of the cost is usually borne by the applicants. Rule 20C applies when the project does not qualify for either Rule 20A or Rule 20B.

Rule 20D—Wildfire Mitigation Undergrounding Program
Rule 20D was approved by the CPUC in January of 2014 and only applies to SDG&E. The Rule 20D program was established largely in response to the destructive wildfires that occurred in San Diego in 2007 as a wildfire mitigation undergrounding program. According to SDG&E, the objective of the Rule 20D undergrounding is exclusively for fire hardening as opposed to aesthetics. The program is limited in scope and is restricted to communities in SDG&E’s Fire Threat Zone (now referred to as the High Fire Threat District or HFTD). As of this time, the program has yet to yield any projects and no projects are currently planned.

For an undergrounding project to qualify for the Rule 20D program, a minimum of three of the following criteria must be met. The project must be near, within, or impactful to:

- Critical electric infrastructure
- Remaining useful life of electric infrastructure
- Exposure to vegetation or tree contact
- Density and proximity of fuel
- Critical surrounding non-electric assets (including structures and sensitive environmental areas)
- Service to public agencies
- Accessibility for firefighters

Similar to Rule 20A, SDG&E must allocate funding each year through their general rate case proceedings to Rule 20D to be approved by the CPUC. This funding is separate from the allocations SDG&E makes for Rule 20A. However, the process of distributing this funding to localities is different. The amount of funding allocated to each city and county for Rule 20D is based on the ratio of the number of miles of overhead lines in SDG&E Fire Threat Zones in a city or county to the total miles of SDG&E overhead lines in the entire SDG&E fire zone. The
Rule 20D program is administered by the utility consistent with the existing reporting, engineering, accounting, and management practices for Rule 20A.

The Committee may want to consider whether Rule 20D should instead be expanded, adapted, or further utilized to support funding for overhead conversions within VHFHSZ throughout the state.

Fiscal Impact:
The costs to the State associated with this Resolution will be related to the staff and programmatic costs to the CPUC to take the necessary measures to consider and adopt changes to Rule 20A to include projects in VHFHSZ to the list of criteria for eligibility.

This Resolution calls for an unspecified increase in funding for Rule 20A projects, inferring that portions of increased funds will go towards newly eligible high fire hazard zones. While the Resolution does not request a specific amount be allocated, it can be assumed that these increased costs will be supported by utility ratepayers. According to the CPUC, the annual allocations towards Rule 20A are $95.7 million.

The CPUC currently reports a cumulative credit surplus valued at roughly $1 billion that in various regions, given the approval of expanded eligibility called for by this Resolution, could be used to supplement and reduce the level of new dollars needed to make a significant impact in VHFHSZ. The CPUC follows that overhead conversion projects range from $93,000 per mile for rural construction to $5 million per mile for urban construction.

The Resolution states that “California is experiencing fire seasons of worsening severity” which is supported by not only the tremendous loss of property and life from recent wildfires, but also in the rising costs associated with clean up, recovery, and other economic losses with high estimates in the hundreds of billions of dollars.

The Committee may wish to consider the costs associated with undergrounding utility lines in relation to the costs associated with past wildfires and wildfires to come.

Comments:
CPUC Currently Exploring Revisions to Rule 20
In May 2017, the CPUC issued an Order Instituting Rulemaking to Consider Revisions to Electric Rule 20 and Related Matters. The CPUC will primarily focus on revisions to Rule 20A but may make conforming changes to other parts of Rule 20. The League is a party in these proceedings will provide comments.

Beyond Rule 20A: Additional Options for Funding Undergrounding Projects
There are various ways in which cities can generate funding for undergrounding projects that fall outside of the scope of Rule 20A. At the local level, cities can choose to forgo the Rule 20A process and opt to use their own General Fund money for undergrounding. Other options are also discussed below:
Rule 20D Expansion
The City of Berkley in a 2018 study titled “Conceptual Study for Undergrounding Utility Wires in Berkley” found that the city could possibly qualify for Rule 20D funding if they actively pursued this opportunity in partnership with PG&E and the CPUC.

One of the study’s recommendations is to advocate for release of 20D funds (now earmarked exclusively for SDG&E) to be used for more aggressive fire hardening techniques for above-ground utility poles and equipment, for undergrounding power lines, and for more aggressive utility pole and vegetation management practices in the Very High Hazard Fire Zone within Berkeley’s city limits.

As an alternative to changing the criteria for Rule 20A, the Committee may wish to consider whether there is the opportunity to advocate for the expansion of Rule 20D funding more broadly, expanding its reach to all IOU territories.

Franchise Surcharge Fees
Aside from Rule 20 allocations, cities can generate funding for undergrounding through franchise fee surcharges. For example, SDG&E currently operates under a 50-year City franchise that was granted in 1970. Under the franchises approved by the San Diego City Council in December 1970, SDG&E agreed to pay a franchise fee to the City equivalent to 3% of its gross receipts from the sales of both natural gas and electricity for 30 years.

These fees were renegotiated in 2000 and in 2001 an agreement was between the City of San Diego, SDG&E, and the CPUC to extend the existing franchise fee to include revenues collected from surcharges. SDG&E requested an increase of 3.88% to its existing electric franchise fee surcharge. The bulk, 3.53% of this increase is to be used for underground conversion of overhead electric wires.

Based on SDG&E’s revenue projections, the increase would result in an additional surcharge revenue amount of approximately $36.5 million per year. SDG&E estimates that this would create a monthly increase of approximately $3.00 to a typical residential customer’s electric bill. These surcharge revenues would pay for additional undergrounding projects including those that do not meet the Rule 20A criteria. The City of Santa Barbara has also adopted a similar franchise surcharge fee.

Having this funding source allows the City of San Diego to underground significantly more miles of above ground utility lines than other municipalities. However, the surcharge is currently being challenged in court, as it is argued that the City had SDG&E impose a tax without a ballot measure.
Utility Bankruptcy and Undergrounding Funding
In considering this Resolution, it is important to understand that Rule 20A allocations have been more substantial in the past. As mentioned earlier, prior to 2011, PG&E was allocating approximately 5% to 6% of its revenue to the Rule 20A program. Therefore, it is not unreasonable to encourage an increase in Rule 20A allocations as history shows that utilities had the capacity to do so in the past.

However, in a time where IOUs such as PG&E are facing bankruptcy as the result of utility caused wildfires, there is the possibility that expanding rule 20A funding will generate more costs for the ratepayers.

Questions to Consider:
1) Is Rule 20A or Rule 20D the more appropriate program to advocate for such an expansion?
2) Are there any wildfire risks outside of VHFHSZ that could be mitigated by undergrounding projects?

Existing League Policy:

Public Safety:
The League supports additional funding for local agencies to recoup the costs associated with fire safety in the community and timely mutual aid reimbursement for disaster response services in other jurisdictions. (pg. 43)

The League supports the fire service mission of saving lives and protecting property through fire prevention, disaster preparedness, hazardous-materials mitigation, specialized rescue, etc., as well as cities’ authority and discretion to provide all emergency services to their communities. (pg. 43)

Transportation, Communication, and Public Works:
Existing telecommunications providers and new entrants shall adhere to local city policies on public utility undergrounding. (pg. 54)

The League supports protecting the additional funding for local transportation and other critical unmet infrastructure needs. (pg. 51)

The League supports innovative strategies including public private partnerships at the state and local levels to enhance public works funding. (pg. 52)

Environmental Quality
The League opposes any legislation that interferes with local utility rate setting authority and opposes any legislation that restricts the ability of a city to transfer revenue from a utility (or other enterprise activity) to the city’s general fund. (pg. 9)
Cities should continue to have the authority to issue franchises and any program should be at least revenue neutral relative to revenue currently received from franchises. (pg. 9)

The League is concerned about the impacts of escalating energy prices on low income residents and small businesses. The League supports energy pricing structures and other mechanisms to soften the impacts on this segment of our community. (pg. 10)

2019 Strategic Goals
Improve Disaster Preparedness, Recovery and Climate Resiliency.
- Provide resources to cities and expand partnerships to better prepare for and recover from wildfires, seismic events, erosion, mudslides and other disasters.
- Improve community preparedness and resiliency to respond to climate-related, natural and man-made disasters.

Support:
The following letters of concurrence were received:
The City of Hidden Hills
The City of La Cañada Flintridge
The City of Laguna Beach
The City of Lakeport
The City of Malibu
The City of Moorpark
The City of Nevada City
The City of Palos Verdes Estates
The City of Rolling Hills Estates
The City of Rolling Hills
The City of Ventura
LETTERS OF CONCURRENCE
Resolution No. 1

Amendment to Rule 20A
August 14, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, California 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of Hidden Hills supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes' effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

Larry G. Weber
Mayor
August 14, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K St., Ste. 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of La Cañada Flintridge supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

The City of La Cañada Flintridge is one of the few Southern California cities in which 100% of the community within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. The City, in 1987, committed 100% of its 20A allocation for forty-five years from this year for a major downtown undergrounding project. Therefore, the only way our City can directly benefit from this Resolution is if there is an additional annual increased allocation for this purpose. Due to the extreme threat the City experienced at the time of the Station Fire, the City is keenly aware of the damage a fire may potentially cause, whether from utility issues or from natural causes. The City strongly supports any effort, including this Resolution, to reduce fire danger for the City’s residents.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly with the City of La Cañada Flintridge in support.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Leónard Pieroni
Mayor

One Civic Center Drive, La Cañada Flintridge, CA 91011 • (818) 790-8880 • Fax (818) 790-7536
www.lcf.ca.gov
July 25, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K St., Ste. 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of Laguna Beach supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. Ten to the Top 20 most destructive fires in California were caused by electrical sources. The California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for undergrounding of utilities costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it. We also believe that this program should redirect unused Rule 20A allocations from cities who have no undergrounding projects planned to the cities in Very High Fire Hazard Severity zones.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects. The City of Laguna Beach recommends that the resolution also be amended to call on the CPUC to redirect unused Rule 20A allocations from cities who have no undergrounding projects planned to the cities in Very High Fire Hazard Severity zones.

Nearly 90% of the City of Laguna Beach land area is designated under State Law and local ordinance as Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. While the City has used Rule 20A and 20B funding in the past to underground more than half of its overhead utilities, sufficient funding is not available to underground the remaining parts of the City.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.
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For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Bob Whalen
Mayor

Attachment: League of CA Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution Packet_092419 (Resolutions to be Considered at the League's Annual
August 7, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K St., Ste. 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of Lakeport supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

Tim Barnes
Mayor
City of Lakeport
City of Malibu
Jefferson Wagner, Mayor
23825 Stuart Ranch Road · Malibu, California · 90265-4861
Phone (310) 456-2489 · Fax (310) 456-3356 · www.malibucity.org

August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K St., Ste. 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: City of Rancho Palos Verdes Proposed Resolution to Amend California Public Utilities Commission Rule 20A – SUPPORT

Dear Ms. Arbuckle:

At its Regular meeting on August 12, 2019, the Malibu City Council unanimously voted to support the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state, but California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, as well as willing and able to foot the bill. The City of Malibu agrees with Rancho Palos Verdes that Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects. As a recent series of news stories on wildfire preparedness in California pointed out, there are more than 75 communities across the state with populations over 1,000, including Rancho Palos Verdes and Malibu, where at least 90 percent of residents live in a Cal Fire-designated Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone.

It is well-known that electric utility equipment is a common fire source, and has sparked some of the most destructive blazes in our state’s history. Moving power lines underground is, therefore, a critical tool in preventing them. Currently, Rule 20A primarily addresses visual blight, but with fire seasons worsening, it is key that fire safety also be considered when local governments pursue Rule 20A projects, and that annual funding allocations for the program be expanded.

It is worth noting that the State does have a program, Rule 20D, that factors in fire safety for funding undergrounding projects. However, this is limited to San Diego Gas & Electric Company projects in certain areas only. This needs to be expanded to include projects in all projects within designated Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones.
Rancho PV League Resolution
Amend Rule 20A
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The proposed resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving
disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, the City of Malibu strongly concurs that the resolution should go before the General
Assembly.

Sincerely,

Jefferson Wagner
Mayor

Cc: Honorable Members of the Malibu City Council
    Reva Feldman, City Manager
    Megan Barnes, City of Rancho Palos Verdes, mbarnes@rpvca.gov
July 24, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President  
League of California Cities  
1400 K St., Ste. 400  
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: SUPPORT FOR RANCHO PALOS VERDES RESOLUTION RE: POWER LINE UNDERGROUNDING

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of Moorpark supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

All cities in Ventura County, including Moorpark, have wildfire prevention fresh in our memories following the highly destructive 2017-2018 Thomas Fire, which was caused by above-ground power lines. The 2018 Woolsey Fire similarly affected Ventura County, and lawsuits have been filed alleging it was also caused by above-ground power lines. Each of these fires caused billions of dollars in damages and highlight the importance of undergrounding power lines.

JANICE S. PARVIN  
Mayor

CHRIS ENEGREN  
Councilmember

ROSEANN MIKOS, Ph.D.  
Councilmember

DAVID POLLOCK  
Councilmember

KEN SIMONS  
Councilmember
The resolution is also in line with one of the League's 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

Janice Parvin
Mayor

cc: City Council
    City Manager
Jan Arbuckle, President  
League of California Cities  
1400 K St., Ste. 400  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of Nevada City supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

The City of Nevada City would also like to add that the local agency be given the power to use private firms to do design, inspect and construct Rule 20A projects in local jurisdiction rather than be required to use the designated local utility. In addition, the City of Nevada City wants the CPUC to allow local jurisdictions to transfer excess funds between agencies to better serve projects in high fire hazard severity zones.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Reinette Senum  
Mayor  
City of Nevada City
July 25, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K St., Ste. 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of Palos Verdes Estates supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s current Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

Mayor Kenneth J. Kao
City of Palos Verdes Estates

cc: PVE City Council
    PVE Interim City Manager Petru
    RPV City Manager Willmore
August 14, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President  
League of California Cities  
1400 K St., Ste. 400  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of Rolling Hills Estates supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

Judith Mitchell  
Mayor
August 14, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K St., Ste. 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Board of Directors:

The City of Rolling Hills supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

Leah Mirsch
Mayor
July 29, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K St., Ste. 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

The City of Ventura supports the City of Rancho Palos Verdes’ effort to bring a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

Undergrounding power lines is an important tool in preventing destructive wildfires that have devastated communities across our state. But California’s Rule 20A program, which allows local governments to pay for these costly projects with ratepayer funds, does not factor in fire safety for eligibility. Unless projects meet the program’s limited eligibility criteria, they are left to be funded by property owners who are proactive, willing and able to foot the bill. We believe Rule 20A offers an important opportunity for fire prevention and that the California Public Utilities Commission should expand this program so more communities can utilize it.

The resolution calls on the CPUC to amend Rule 20A to include projects in Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones to the list of criteria for eligibility. To facilitate more undergrounding projects in these high-risk zones, the resolution also calls on the CPUC to increase funding allocations for Rule 20A projects.

The resolution is also in line with one of the League’s 2019 Strategic Goals of improving disaster preparedness, recovery and climate resiliency.

For these reasons, we concur that the resolution should go before the General Assembly.

Sincerely,

Alex D. McIntyre
City Manager

Source: San Diego County Division
Concurrence of five or more cities/city officials
Cities: Calexico; Coronado; Imperial Beach; San Diego
Individual City Officials: City of Brawley: Mayor Pro Tem Norma Kastner-Jauregui; Council Members Sam Couchman, Luke Hamby, and George Nava. City of Escondido: Deputy Mayor Consuelo Martínez. City of La Mesa: Council Member Bill Baber. City of Santee: Mayor John Minto, City of Vista: Mayor Judy Ritter and Council Member Amanda Young Rigby
Referred to: Environmental Quality Policy Committee

WHEREAS, international transboundary rivers that carry water across the border from Mexico into Southern California are a major source of sewage, trash, chemicals, heavy metals and toxins; and

WHEREAS, transboundary flows threaten the health of residents in the United States and Mexico, harm important estuarine land and water of international significance, force closure of beaches, damage farmland, adversely impact the South San Diego County and Imperial County economy; compromise border security, and directly affect U.S. military readiness; and

WHEREAS, a significant amount of untreated sewage, sediment, hazardous chemicals and trash have been entering southern California through both the Tijuana River Watershed (75 percent of which is within Mexico) and New River flowing into southern California’s coastal waterways and residential and agricultural communities in Imperial County eventually draining into the Salton Sea since the 1930s; and

WHEREAS, in February 2017, an estimated 143 million gallons of raw sewage flowed into the Tijuana River and ran downstream into the Pacific Ocean and similar cross border flows have caused beach closures at Border Field State Park that include 211 days in 2015; 162 days in 2016; 168 days in 2017; 101 days in 2018; and 187 days to date for 2019 as well as closure of a number of other beaches along the Pacific coastline each of those years; and

WHEREAS, approximately 132 million gallons of raw sewage has discharged into the New River flowing into California through communities in Imperial County, with 122 million gallons of it discharged in a 6-day period in early 2017; and

WHEREAS, the presence of pollution on state and federal public lands is creating unsafe conditions for visitors; these lands are taxpayer supported and intended to be managed for recreation, resource conservation and the enjoyment by the public, and

WHEREAS, the current insufficient and degrading infrastructure in the border zone poses a significant risk to the public health and safety of residents and the environment on both
sides of the border, and places the economic stress on cities that are struggling to mitigate the negative impacts of pollution; and

WHEREAS, the 1944 treaty between the United States and Mexico regarding *Utilization of Waters of the Colorado and Tijuana Rivers and of the Rio Grande* allocates flows on trans-border rivers between Mexico and the United States, and provides that the nations, through their respective sections of the International Boundary Water Commission shall give control of sanitation in cross border flows the highest priority; and

WHEREAS, in 1993, the United States and Mexico entered into the *Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the United Mexican States Concerning the Establishment of a North American Development Bank* which created the North American Development Bank (NADB) to certify and fund environmental infrastructure projects in border-area communities; and

WHEREAS, public concerns in response to widespread threats to public health and safety, damage to fish and wildlife resources and degradation to California’s environment resulting from transboundary river flow pollution in the southernmost regions of the state requires urgent action by the Federal and State governments, and

WHEREAS, Congress authorized funding under the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Safe Drinking Water Act and established the State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) program for the U.S.-Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP) in 1996 to provide grants for high-priority water, wastewater, and storm-water infrastructure projects within 100 kilometers of the southern border; and

WHEREAS, the EPA administers the STAG and BWIP programs, and coordinates with the North American Development Bank (NADB) to allocate BWIP grant funds to projects in the border zone; and

WHEREAS, since its inception, the BWIP program has provided funding for projects in California, Arizona, New Mexico and Texas that would not have been constructed without the grant program; and

WHEREAS, the BWIP program was initially funded at $100 million per year, but, over the last 20 years, has been continuously reduced to its current level of $10 million; and

WHEREAS, in its FY 2020 Budget Request, the Administration proposed to eliminate the BWIP program; and

WHEREAS, officials from EPA Region 9, covering California, have identified a multitude of BWIP-eligible projects along the southern border totaling over $300 million; and

WHEREAS, without federal partnership through the BWIP program and state support to address pollution, cities that are impacted by transboundary sewage and toxic waste flows are
left with limited resources to address a critical pollution and public health issue and limited legal remedies to address the problem; and

WHEREAS, the National Association of Counties, (NACo) at their Annual Conference on July 15, 2019 and the U.S. Conference of Mayors at their Annual Conference on in July 1, 2019 both enacted resolutions calling on the federal and state governments to work together to fund and address this environmental crisis; and

WHEREAS, local governments and the public support the State’s primary objectives in complying with environmental laws including the Clean Water Act, Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, and Endangered Species Act and are supported by substantial public investments at all levels of government to maintain a healthy and sustainable environment for future residents of California, and

WHEREAS, League of California Cities policy has long supported efforts to ensure water quality and oppose contamination of water resources; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED at the League General Assembly, assembled at the League Annual Conference on October 18, 2019 in Long Beach, that the League calls upon the Federal and State governments to restore and ensure proper funding to the U.S - Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP) and recommit to working bi-nationally to develop and implement long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharges of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows originating from Mexico, that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.
Background Information on Resolution No. 2

Source: San Diego County Division

Background:
Along California’s southern border with Mexico, the New River in Imperial County and the Tijuana River in San Diego County are major sources of raw sewage, trash, chemicals, heavy metals, and toxins that pollute local communities. Sewage contaminated flows in the Tijuana River have resulted in significant impacts to beach recreation that includes the closure of Border Field State Beach for more than 800 days over the last 5-years. Similarly, contaminated flows in the New River presents comparable hazards, impacts farm land, and contributes to the ongoing crisis in the Salton Sea. These transboundary flows threaten the health of residents in California and Mexico, harms the ecosystem, force closures at beaches, damage farm land, makes people sick, and adversely affects the economy of border communities. The root cause of this cross border pollution is from insufficient or failing water and wastewater infrastructure in the border zone and inadequate federal action to address the problem through existing border programs.

The severity of cross border pollution has continued to increase, due in part to the rapid growth of urban centers since the passage of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA). While economic growth has contributed to greater employment, the environmental infrastructure of the region has not kept pace, which is why Congress authorized the Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP) in 1996. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) administers the BWIP and coordinates with the North American Development Bank (NADB) to provide financing and technical support for projects on both sides of the U.S./Mexico border. Unfortunately, the current BWIP funding at $10 million per year is only a fraction of the initial program budget that shares funding with the entire 2,000 mile Mexican border with California, Arizona, New Mexico and Texas. EPA officials from Region 9 have identified an immediate need for BWIP projects totaling over $300 million just for California. Without federal partnerships through the BWIP and state support to address cross border pollution, cities that are impacted by transboundary sewage and toxic waste flows are left with limited resources to address a critical pollution and public health issue.

The International Boundary and Water Commission (IBWC) is another important federal stakeholder that, under the Treaty of 1944 with Mexico, must address border sanitation problems. While IBWC currently captures and treats some of the pollution generated in Mexico, it also redirects cross border flows without treatment directly into California.

Improving environmental and public health conditions for communities along the border is essential for maintaining strong border economy with Mexico. The IBWC, EPA, and NADB are the important federal partners with existing bi-national programs that are able to immediately implement solutions on cross border pollution. California is in a unique position to take the lead and work with local and federal partners to implement real solutions that will addresses the long standing and escalating water quality crisis along the border.

For those reasons, the cities of Imperial Beach and Coronado requested the San Diego County Division to propose a resolution at the 2019 League Annual Conference calling upon the federal
and state governments to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the waterways of the southernmost regions of California, San Diego and Imperial Counties and the Pacific Ocean.

On August 12, 2019 at the regularly scheduled meeting of the San Diego County Division, the membership unanimously endorsed submittal of the resolution, with close to 75% membership present and voting.

The Imperial County Division does not have a schedule meeting until after the deadline to submit proposed resolutions. However, the City of Calexico, which is most directly impacted by initial pollution flow of the New River from Mexicali, sent a letter in concurrence of this resolution as well as numerous city official from cities within Imperial County and the Imperial County Board of Supervisors. The League Imperial County Division will place a vote to support this resolution on the agenda of their September 26, 2019 meeting.
League of California Cities Staff Analysis on Resolution No. 2

Staff: Derek Dolfie, Legislative Representative
Carly Shelby, Legislative and Policy Development Assistant

Committees: Environmental Quality

Summary:
This Resolution states that the League of California Cities should call upon the State and Federal governments to restore and ensure proper funding for the U.S. – Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP) and work bi-nationally to address water quality issues resulting from transboundary flows from Mexico’s Tijuana River into the United States containing untreated sewage, polluted sediment, and trash.

Background:
The League of California Cities’ San Diego County Division is sponsoring this resolution to address their concerns over the contaminated flows from the Tijuana River into California that have resulted in the degradation of water quality and water recreational areas in Southern California.

The Tijuana River flows north through highly urbanized areas in Mexico before it enters the Tijuana River Estuary and eventually the Pacific Ocean via waterways in San Diego County in California. Urban growth in Tijuana has contributed to a rise in rates of upstream flows from water treatment facilities in Mexico. These treatment facilities have raised the amount of untreated sewage and waste in the Tijuana River due to faulty infrastructure and improper maintenance. The federal government refers to the river as an “impaired water body” because of the presence of pollutants in excess, which pose significant health risks to residents and visitors in communities on both sides of the border.

Federal Efforts to Address Pollution Crisis
To remedy the Tijuana River’s low water quality, the United States and Mexico entered into a Treaty in 1944 entitled: Utilization of Waters of the Colorado River and Tijuana Rivers and of the Rio Grande – the International Boundary and Water Commission (IBWC). The IBWC was designed to consist of a United States section and a Mexico section. Both sections were tasked with negotiating and implementing resolutions to address water pollution in the area, which includes overseeing the development of water treatment and diversion infrastructure.

After the formation of the IBWC, the U.S. and Mexico entered into a treaty in 1993 entitled: Agreement Concerning the Establishment of a Border Environment Cooperation Commission and a North American Development Bank. This agreement established the North American Development Bank (NADB), which certifies and funds infrastructure projects located within 100 kilometers (62 miles) of the border line. The NADB supports federal programs like the Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP), which was initially funded at $100 million, annually.

The degradation of existing water treatment infrastructure along the border coincides with the federal government’s defunding of the BWIP, which has steadily decreased from $100 million in 1996 to $10 million today. The Federal FY 2020 Budget proposes eliminating BWIP funding...
altogether. EPA’s regions 6 and 9 (includes U.S. states that border Mexico) have identified a number of eligible projects that address public health and environmental conditions along the border totaling $340 million.

The NADB has funded the development of water infrastructure in both the U.S. and Mexico. Water diversion and treatment infrastructure along the U.S – Mexico border includes, but is not limited to, the following facilities:

- **The South Bay International Wastewater Treatment Plant (SBIWTP).** This facility was constructed by the U.S. in 1990 and is located on the California side of the border and is operated under the jurisdiction of the IBWC. The SBIWTP serves as a diversion and treatment sewage plant to address the flow of untreated sewage from Mexico into the United States.

- **Pump Station CILA.** CILA was constructed by Mexico in 1991 and is located along the border in Mexico. This facility serves as the SBIWTP’s Mexican counterpart.

Both the SBIWTP and CILA facilities have had a multitude of overflows containing untreated sewage and toxic waste that spills into the Tijuana River. The cause of overflows can be attributed to flows exceeding the maximum capacity that the infrastructure can accommodate (this is exacerbated during wet and rainy seasons) and failure to properly operate and maintain the facilities. Much of the existing infrastructure has not had updates or repairs for decades, causing overflows to become more frequent and severe. The most notable overflow occurred in February 2017, wherein 143 million gallons of polluting waste discharged into the Tijuana River; affecting the Tijuana Estuary, the Pacific Ocean, and Southern California’s waterways.

**State Actions**

In response to the February 2017 overflow, the San Diego Water Board’s Executive Officer sent a letter to the U.S. and Mexican IBWC Commissioners which included recommendations on how to improve existing infrastructure and communications methods between both nations.

In September of 2018, California Attorney General Xavier Becerra submitted a lawsuit against IBWC for Violating the Clean Water Act by allowing flows containing sewage and toxic waste to flow into California’s waterways, posing a public health and ecological crisis. The cities of Imperial Beach, San Diego, Chula Vista, the Port of San Diego, and the San Diego Regional Water Quality Board have also filed suit against the IBWC. The suit is awaiting its first settlement conference on October 19, 2019. If parties are unable to reach a settlement, the case will go to trial.

**Fiscal Impact:**

California’s economy is currently the sixth largest in the world, with tourism spending topping $140.6 billion in 2018. In the past five years, San Diego’s Border Field State Park has been closed for over 800 days because of pollution from the Tijuana River. A decline in the State’s beach quality and reputation could carry macroeconomic effects that could ripple outside of the San Diego County region and affect coastal communities throughout California.
Existing League Policy
The League of California Cities has extensive language on water in its Summary of Existing Policy and Guiding Principles. Fundamentally, the League recognizes that beneficial water quality is essential to the health and welfare of California and all of its citizens. Additionally, the League advocates for local, state and federal governments to work cooperatively to ensure that water quality is maintained.

The following policy relates to the issue of water quality:

- Surface and groundwater should be protected from contamination.
- Requirements for wastewater discharge into surface water and groundwater to safeguard public health and protect beneficial uses should be supported.
- When addressing contamination in a water body, water boards should place priority emphasis on clean-up strategies targeting sources of pollution, rather than in stream or end-of-pipe treatment.
- Water development projects must be economically, environmentally and scientifically sound.
- The viability of rivers and streams for instream uses such as fishery habitat, recreation and aesthetics must be protected.
- Protection, maintenance, and restoration of fish and wildlife habitat and resources.

Click here to view the Summary of Existing Policy and Guiding Principles 2018.

Comments:
1. Water quality issues are prevalent across California and have been a constant priority of the State’s legislature and residents. In 2014, California’s voters approved Proposition 1, which authorized $7.5 billion in general obligation bonds to fund water quality improvement projects. In 2019, the Legislature reached an agreement to allocate $130 million from the State’s Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF) to address failing water infrastructure and bad water qualities for over one million of California’s residents in rural communities. Water quality is not an issue unique to the County of San Diego and communities along the border.

2. Tijuana River cross-border pollution has caught national attention. Members of Congress have proposed recent funding solutions to address the pollution crisis, including:
   - In February of 2019, California Congressional Representatives Vargas, Peters, and Davis helped secure $15 million for the EPA to use as part of its BWIP.
   - H.R. 3895 (Vargas, Peters, 2019), The North American Development Bank Pollution Solution Act. This bill seeks to support pollution mitigation efforts along the border by increasing the NADB’s capital by $1.5 billion.
   - H.R. 4039 (Levin, 2019), The Border Water Infrastructure Improvement Act. This bill proposes increasing funding to the BWIP from the existing $10 million to $150 million as a continuous appropriation until 2025.

Additionally, the National Association of Counties (NACo) and the U.S. Conference of Mayors enacted resolutions in support of increased funding for U.S. – Mexico border water infrastructure to address the environmental crisis in 2019.
3. The border pollution problem has sparked action from local, state, and federal actors. Should this resolution be adopted, League membership should be aware that future action will be adapted by what is explicitly stated in the resolution’s language. In current form, the resolution’s resolve clause cites the BWIP as the only program that should receive reinstated and proper funding. League staff recommends the language be modified to state:

“NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED at the League General Assembly, assembled at the League Annual Conference on October 18, 2019 in Long Beach, that the League calls upon the Federal and State governments to restore and ensure proper funding for environmental infrastructure on the U.S. – Mexico Border, including to the U.S.–Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP), and recommit to working bi-nationally to develop and implement long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharges of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows originating from Mexico, that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.”

Modifying the language would ensure enough flexibility for the League to support funding mechanisms outside of the prescribed federally-operated BWIP.

4. It remains unclear if there is an appetite in Washington to fund border-related infrastructure projects that address environmental quality. Given the high probability of another overflow containing waste and sewage from the existing infrastructure operated by the IBWC, League membership should consider the outcome if no resolution is reached to address the issue.

Support:
The following letters of concurrence were received:

Cities:
The City of Calexico
The City of Coronado
The City of Imperial Beach
The City of San Diego

In their individual capacity:
Amanda Young Rigby, City of Vista Council Member
Bill Baber, City of La Mesa Council Member
Consuelo Martinez, City of Escondido Deputy Mayor
George A. Nava, City of Brawley Council Member
John Minto, City of Santee Mayor
Judy Ritter, City of Vista Mayor
Luke Hamby, City of Brawley Council Member
Norma Kastner-Jauregui, City of Brawley Mayor Pro-Tempore
Sam Couchman, City of Brawley Council Member
LETTERS OF CONCURRENCE
Resolution No. 2

International Transboundary Pollution Flows
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Environmental and Water Quality Impacts Of International Transboundary River Pollution Flow Resolution

President Arbuckle:

The city of Calexico strongly supports the San Diego County Division’s effort to submit a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

The Division’s resolution calls upon the Federal and State governments to restore and ensure proper funding of the Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP) to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the waterways of the southernmost regions of California (San Diego and Imperial Counties) and the Pacific Ocean.

Local government and the public support the State’s water and environmental quality objectives and League policy has long supported efforts to ensure water quality and oppose contamination of water resources. This resolution addresses the critical need for the federal and state governments to recommit to work bi-nationally to develop and implement long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharges of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows originating from Mexico, that result in significant health, environmental and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As members of the League, our city values the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. We appreciate your time on this issue.
If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at 760/768-2110.

Sincerely,

CITY OF CALEXICO

[Signature]

David Dale
City Manager

Cc: Honorable Mayor Bill Hodge
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Environmental and Water Quality Impacts of International Transboundary River Pollution Flows Resolution

This letter is written on behalf of and with the support of the Coronado City Council. The City of Coronado wholeheartedly supports the resolution adopted by the San Diego County and Imperial County Division of the California League of Cities.

The San Diego County Division’s resolution calls upon the federal and state governments to restore and ensure proper funding of the U.S.-Mexico Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP) to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the waterways of the southernmost regions of California (San Diego and Imperial Counties) and the Pacific Ocean.

The City has been working closely with the Environmental Protection Agency and other federal partners on the matter since early 2018. City leaders are committed to finding long-term, sustainable solutions to this problem. Through its advocacy and education efforts, the City of Coronado has raised national awareness of the problem among legislators, political appointees and career staff at federal agencies. These efforts have been successful. However, the City along with our coalition partners, look forward to more action to swiftly resolve this issue.

Local government and the public support the state’s water and environmental quality objectives and League policy has long supported efforts to ensure water quality and oppose contamination of water resources. This resolution addresses the critical need for the federal and state governments to recommit to work bi-nationally to develop and implement long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharges of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows originating from Mexico, that result in significant health, environmental and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As members of the League, Coronado values the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. We appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Blair King
Coronado City Manager

cc: Coronado Mayor and City Council
    Bill Baber, President, San Diego County Division
    c/o Catherine Hill, Regional Public Affairs Manager, San Diego County Division chill@cacities.org
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K St. Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Environmental and Water Quality Impacts Of International Transboundary River Pollution Flow Resolution

President Arbuckle:

The city of Imperial Beach appreciates and supports the San Diego County Division’s effort to submit a resolution for consideration by the full membership of the League of California Cities.

The Division’s resolution calls on Federal and State government to address the impacts of transboundary pollution flows into the Southwestern regions of California. The pollution in these areas is an environmental disaster that threatens the health and general welfare of residents near the Mexican border in Imperial and San Diego Counties.

I encourage all voting delegates and elected officials in attendance at the 2019 Annual League of California Cities Conference in Long Beach to support this important resolution as it addresses the critical need for the federal and state government to recommit to work bi-nationally to address the serious contamination issues and to develop and implement long-term solutions.

I am available for any questions or additional information related to this letter of support.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Andy Hall
City Manager

Cc: Honorable Mayor Serge Dedina
Honorable Mayor Pro Tem Robert Patton
Honorable Councilmember Paloma Aguirre
Honorable Councilmember Ed Spriggs
Honorable Councilmember Mark West
August 16, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Environmental and Water Quality Impacts Of International Transboundary River Pollution Flow Resolution

President Arbuckle:

The city of Imperial Beach strongly supports the San Diego County Division’s effort to submit a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League’s 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

The Division’s resolution calls upon the Federal and State governments to restore and ensure proper funding of the Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP) to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the waterways of the southernmost regions of California (San Diego and Imperial Counties) and the Pacific Ocean.

Local government and the public support the State’s water and environmental quality objectives and League policy has long supported efforts to ensure water quality and oppose contamination of water resources. This resolution addresses the critical need for the federal and state governments to recommit to work bi-nationally to develop and implement long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharges of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows originating from Mexico, that result in significant heath, environmental and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As members of the League, our city values the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. We appreciate your time on this issue. If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at 619-423-8303.

Sincerely,

Serge Dedina
Mayor
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Environmental and Water Quality Impacts of International Transboundary River Pollution Flow Resolution

President Arbuckle:

The City of San Diego supports the San Diego County Division in their effort to submit a resolution to the General Assembly at the League of California Cities’ 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

To suppress the flow of pollution between the Mexico and Southern California’s water channels, the Division requests for the Federal and State governments to give proper funding to the Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP).

The City of San Diego and its citizens have expressed their concerns about untreated sewage, polluted sediment and trash flowing from Mexico, into California, causing health, environmental and safety concerns. The State’s water and environmental quality objectives and League policy has long supported efforts to ensure water quality and oppose contamination of water resources. With the Division’s resolution, the great need for federal and state governments to reconsider working together, will help in developing a long-term solution to address serious water quality and contamination issues.

As members of the League, our City values the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. We appreciate your time on this issue.

Please contact me at (619)453-9946 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Denice Garcia
Director of International Affairs

Cc: Honorable Mayor Kevin L. Faulconer
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, 4th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Border Sewage Issues

Dear President Arbuckle,

As a Council Member in the City of Vista, and solely in my individual capacity as such, I write in **support** of the League of California Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution proposed by the San Diego County Division to address the constant sewage pollution issues at the international border with Mexico.

This Resolution requests that the federal and state governments recognize the paramount importance of this issue and address the devastating impacts that this constant contamination has on the southernmost regions of California and the Pacific coastline by requesting the necessary funding to develop and implement effective and long term solutions to the raw sewage contamination coming into San Diego and Imperial Counties from Mexico.

Although I have lived in Vista for 27 years now, I grew up in Imperial Beach and know well the severe health and environmental impact that this situation has had on our border communities for the **decades**.

As a member of the League, I value the League’s ability to effectively advocate on behalf of not only our cities but in effect, our citizens, and this is an important issue for our entire state. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact me at the number below. Thank you for your consideration.

Most Sincerely,

Amanda Young Rigby
Council Member, City of Vista

cc: Vista City Council
    Vista City Manager
    Vista City Attorney
    City of Imperial Beach
    City of Coronado
    City of Calexico
    City of San Diego
August 16, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Environmental and Water Quality Impacts Of International Transboundary River Pollution Flows Resolution

President Arbuckle:

As a Council Member for the City of La Mesa and in my individual capacity, not on behalf of the full La Mesa City Council as a body or the City, I am writing you in support of the San Diego County Division's effort to submit a resolution for consideration by the General Assembly at the League's 2019 Annual Conference in Long Beach.

The Division's resolution calls upon the Federal and State governments to restore and ensure proper funding of the Border Water Infrastructure Program (BWIP) to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the waterways of the southernmost regions of California (San Diego and Imperial Counties) and the Pacific Ocean.

As San Diego County Division President and a member of the League, I value the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. I appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me at 619-667-1106, should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

BILL BABER
COUNCIL MEMBER CITY OF LA MESA
PRESIDENT, LEAGUE SAN DIEGO COUNTY DIVISION
August 16, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, 4th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

As one Council Member of the city of Escondido, and in my individual capacity and not on behalf of the Council as a body or the City, I write in support of the League of California Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution proposed by the San Diego County Division to address the transboundary river flow pollution impacting cities in San Diego and Imperial Counties.

This resolution calls upon the federal and state governments to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the southernmost regions of California and the Pacific Ocean by requesting the necessary funding to develop solutions for pollution coming into San Diego County and Imperial County waterways through the Tijuana River and New River, respectively.

The passage of the proposed resolution by the San Diego County Division would provide support for the restoration of much needed funding and development and implementation of long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharge of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As a member of the League, I value the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. I appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me at cmartinez@escondido.org if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Consuelo Martinez
Deputy Mayor

cc: Honorable Mayor and City Council Members
Jeffrey R. Epp, City Manager
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, 4th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

As one Council Member of the City of Brawley, and in my individual capacity and not on behalf of the Council as a body or the City, I write in support of the League of California Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution proposed by the San Diego County Division to address the transboundary river flow pollution impacting cities in San Diego and Imperial Counties.

This resolution calls upon the federal and state governments to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the southernmost regions of California and the Pacific Ocean by requesting the necessary funding to develop solutions for pollution coming into San Diego County and Imperial County waterways through the Tijuana River and New River, respectively.

The passage of the proposed resolution by the San Diego County Division would provide support for the restoration of much needed funding and development and implementation of long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharge of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As a member of the League, I value the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. I appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me at (City email) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

George A. Nava
City Council Member
City of Brawley
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President  
League of California Cities  
1400 K Street, 4th Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

As Mayor of the city of Santee, and in my individual capacity and not on behalf of the Council as a body or the City, I write in support of the League of California Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution proposed by the San Diego County Division to address the transboundary river flow pollution impacting cities in San Diego and Imperial Counties.

This resolution calls upon the federal and state governments to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the southernmost regions of California and the Pacific Ocean by requesting the necessary funding to develop solutions for pollution coming into San Diego County and Imperial County waterways through the Tijuana River and New River, respectively.

The passage of the proposed resolution by the San Diego County Division would provide support for the restoration of much needed funding and development and implementation of long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharge of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As a member of the League, I value the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. I appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me at (JMinto@cityofsanteec.ca.gov) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

JOHN W. MINTO  
Mayor  
City of Santee
August 16, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, 4th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

As Mayor of the city of Vista, and in my individual capacity and not on behalf of the Council as a body or the City, I write in support of the League of California Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution proposed by the San Diego County Division to address the transboundary river flow pollution impacting cities in San Diego and Imperial Counties.

This resolution calls upon the federal and state governments to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the southernmost regions of California and the Pacific Ocean by requesting the necessary funding to develop solutions for pollution coming into San Diego County and Imperial County waterways through the Tijuana River and New River, respectively.

The passage of the proposed resolution by the San Diego County Division would provide support for the restoration of much needed funding and development and implementation of long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharge of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California's southern border impacting the state.

As a member of the League, I value the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. I appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me at jritter@cityofvista.com if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Judy Ritter
Mayor
City of Vista
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, 4th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

As one Council Member of the City of Brawley, and in my individual capacity and not on behalf of the Council as a body or the City, I write in support of the League of California Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution proposed by the San Diego County Division to address the transboundary river flow pollution impacting cities in San Diego and Imperial Counties.

This resolution calls upon the federal and state governments to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the southernmost regions of California and the Pacific Ocean by requesting the necessary funding to develop solutions for pollution coming into San Diego County and Imperial County waterways through the Tijuana River and New River, respectively.

The passage of the proposed resolution by the San Diego County Division would provide support for the restoration of much needed funding and development and implementation of long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharge of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As a member of the League, I value the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. I appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me at (City email) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Luke Hamby
City Council Member
City of Brawley
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, 4th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

As one Council Member of the City of Brawley, and in my individual capacity and not on behalf of the Council as a body or the City, I write in support of the League of California Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution proposed by the San Diego County Division to address the transboundary river flow pollution impacting cities in San Diego and Imperial Counties.

This resolution calls upon the federal and state governments to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the southernmost regions of California and the Pacific Ocean by requesting the necessary funding to develop solutions for pollution coming into San Diego County and Imperial County waterways through the Tijuana River and New River, respectively.

The passage of the proposed resolution by the San Diego County Division would provide support for the restoration of much needed funding and development and implementation of long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharge of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As a member of the League, I value the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. I appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me at (City email) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Norma Kastner-Jauregui
Mayor Pro-Tempore
City of Brawley
August 15, 2019

Jan Arbuckle, President
League of California Cities
1400 K Street, 4th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear President Arbuckle:

As one Council Member of the City of Brawley, and in my individual capacity and not on behalf of the Council as a body or the City, I write in support of the League of California Cities 2019 Annual Conference Resolution proposed by the San Diego County Division to address the transboundary river flow pollution impacting cities in San Diego and Imperial Counties.

This resolution calls upon the federal and state governments to address the devastating impacts of international transboundary pollution flows into the southernmost regions of California and the Pacific Ocean by requesting the necessary funding to develop solutions for pollution coming into San Diego County and Imperial County waterways through the Tijuana River and New River, respectively.

The passage of the proposed resolution by the San Diego County Division would provide support for the restoration of much needed funding and development and implementation of long-term solutions to address serious water quality and contamination issues, such as discharge of untreated sewage and polluted sediment and trash-laden transboundary flows that result in significant health, environmental, and safety concerns in communities along California’s southern border impacting the state.

As a member of the League, I value the policy development process provided to the General Assembly. I appreciate your time on this issue. Please feel free to contact me at (City email) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Sam Couchman
City Council Member
City of Brawley
Annual Update:

Director of Administrative Services
Director of Administrative Services

Human Resources
- Recruitment & Retention
- Compensation & Benefits
- Compliance Regulation
- Training & Development
- Labor Relations
- Employee Relations
- Workers Compensation
- Performance Management

Community Development
- Building
- Planning
- Engineering
- Grant Writing
- Economic & Workforce Development

Risk Management & Safety
- CSJVRMA

Office of the City Manager
- Economic Development
- Special Projects
HR & Risk Management Highlights

Since 6/2018, we have recruited for and filled 12 positions

Saved approximately $25k on conducting an in-house executive recruitment for Chief of Police

5 New Classifications: Director of Administrative Services, City Engineer, City Planner, Senior Planner, Police Officer Reserve

Looking forward to new payroll software that will improve ability to track leaves of absence

Continued success with CSUB Internship Program

Participated in CSJVRMA’s strategic planning process to advocate for more support on workers compensation cases
Community Development Department

From 6/2018 to date, CDD issues 50 encroachment permits, 370 building permits of which 315 were finalized, and completed 2906 building inspections.

Major focus on improving service delivery and culture change

Move from contract services to full time employees has allowed for increased consultation, faster turnaround times, proactive communication and improved internal communication

Starting November 1st, the CDD will accept digital plans

Streamlining applications and processes to avoid redundancies and unnecessary delays

Converting PDF files to fillable files so applications may be submitted electronically

Reviewing processes and practices in CDD and throughout City to create business friendly environment

.........more to come!
Economic & Workforce Development

Hosted Small Business Resources Workshop

Partnered with AJC for highly successful Job & Resource Fair (over 39 employers, free haircuts for applicants as well as resource booths)

Ad in Kern Economic Development Journal

Ordered appraisal for City/RDA owned properties so we can develop a strategy for optimal use

Added a new bus stop at the Job Spot to Bakersfield resource center

Working with Bakersfield College to rent out space in the Adobe Complex
2019 Job Fair
Engineering Highlights:

Completed Projects:

- January 2019 - Sycamore Drainage Project
- October 2018 – Wastewater Treatment Facility Project
- September 2018 – DiGiorgio Sidewalk Project
- Worked with our Grantwriter to prepare CDBG, Hazard Mitigation, Prop 68, etc.

Current and Future Projects:

- Park & Ride – Currently completing bid review
- Urban Greening Pathways Project: In design, Anticipated start 2020
- Derby Widening and Sidewalk (CMAQ) Project
- ATP Cycle 1 Multiple Street Sidewalk and Pedestrian Enhancements
- Comanche Repave Project Between Sycamore & Shane
Grantwriting Highlights:

Prepared five Prop 68 grant applications for each of our parks to including a water feature and amphitheater in GITS, premium themed play structures and complete renovations of baseball and soccer fields

Submitted $2.8 million dollar CDBG application for complete reconstruct of Franklin Ave. Will resubmit in January of 2019 for OTC program

Hazard Mitigation for the Richard/Pecan Sump, Urban Greening and many more.
Special Projects

Led internal strategic planning session

Organized Council strategic planning

Smotherman Park = Born Learning Train Installation

Links for Life Breast screening